Sciatica caused by disc herniation: Why is Chymopapain Chemonucleolysis denied to our patients?

Douglas Wardlaw

Int J Spine Surg 2016, 10 ()
doi: https://doi.org/10.14444/3044
http://ijssurgery.com/content/10/44

Sciatica caused by disc herniation: Why is Chymopapain Chemonucleolysis denied to our patients?

Douglas Wardlaw MB ChB ChM FRCSEd

Formerly Consultant Spinal Surgeon, Department of Orthopaedics, NHS Grampian , Woodend Hospital, Aberdeen, Scotland, UK, Honorary Professor, The Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen, Scotland, UK

Abstract

Background

This study was undertaken to assess the long term outcome on the quality of life of patients with sciatica following treatment with chemonucleolysis, and to assess the complications.

Methods

This is a retrospective review carried out in a consecutive group of patients suffering from sciatica treated by chemonucleolysis. Patients were followed up by questionnaires to obtain Macnab score; satisfaction, SF 36, and case note review for complications and repeat spinal surgery.

Results

Six hundred and five patients (56% males, 44% females) treated over a ten year period from 1991 to 2000 were followed up. Average age was 47 years (range 17 - 88 years). The duration of symptoms prior to treatment averaged 10 months (range 1 - 20 months) and the herniation was confirmed by Myelogram (7%), CT Scan (34%), or MRI (59%). There were 578 single level and 27 double levels treated. Eighty five percent of herniations were typical single level , and 15% were atypical that is: patients with dominant back pain with sciatica, recurrent herniations following surgery at the same level, recurrent herniations at another level following chemonucleolysis, double levels treated patients with mainly neurological deficits and one cauda equina syndrome. Average follow up was 62 months (range 12 - 123) with a 78% satisfaction rate, with a 14% surgical intervention rate made up of 9% decompression, 1% repeat chemonucleolysis at another level and 4% fusion rate. SF-36 scores generally correlated with age and sex on scores for the normal local population.

Conclusions

This is a retrospective study and showed that chemonucleolysis was effective with a high satisfaction rate. It restores quality of life close to that expected in the population, and is safe with no complications related to the procedure. It is a cost effective daycase procedure with a lasting result.

KEYWORDS: CHYMOPAPAIN, CHEMONUCLEOLYSIS, SCIATICA, DISC HERNIAION, LUMBAR SPINE, BACK PAIN, COMPLICATIONS, LONG TERM FOLLOW UP, OUTCOME

VOLUME 10 ARTICLE 44 DOI: 10.14444/3044
PAGES -

Introduction

Chymopapain chemonucleolysis was and is potentially an excellent method of treatment that is currently being denied to patients suffering from sciatica due to a soft disc herniation. Chymopapain chemonucleolysis was first reported by Lyman Smith in 1964 and the first product called Discase was manufactured by Smith Laboratories Inc. It became widely used throughout Europe, North America and Australia[1-16] and was demonstrated to be an effective and safe method of treatment. The enzyme chymopapain is injected by the lateral route into the center of the nucleus with digestion of the proteoglycan of the nucleus and the herniation, the products being excreted in the urine.[17,18,19] A purer preparation (Chymodiactin, Smith Laboratories Inc. USA) was later introduced due to a risk of anaphylaxis and its efficacy has been established in many randomized studies compared to a placebo;[20-23] and to surgery.[24-27] In 2001 two excellent review papers described the history and status of the procedure.[28,29] Unfortunately the enzyme

ceased to be available in 2002 for non-scientific commercial reasons.

In 1995 Boots Pharmaceuticals, based in Nottingham, U. K. manufactured, owned and marketed the product. Their training and clinician support was second to none and uptake of the treatment in the United Kingdom was increasing by 30% annually. For financial reasons, they sold the phamaceutical business to BASF, based in Germany, who decided to move the manufacture from Nottingham in the U. K. to Germany. In 2000, BASF then sold, part of their pharmaceutical business to Abbot Pharmaceuticals before the lab had transferred. Abbot consulted widely whether to proceed with the laboratory setup and in the end, decided not to go ahead. Eventually stocks of Chymodiactin ran out.

Open surgery (micro- or standard discectomy) to remove the herniation entrapping the nerve root, remains the treatment of choice, often with removal of much of the remaining nucleus.[30-38] Excellent results can be achieved but it remains a major operative procedure with a risk of complications and recurrence.[39-45] Attempts to reduce the risks have led to the development of less invasive techniques.[46-51] Sadly the least invasive technique of chymopapain chemonucleolysis, remains unavailable.

The author carried out over 2,000 chemonucleolysis procedures over a twenty year period, from 1982 to 2002. He introduced the procedure by means of a prospective randomized study of 100 consecutive patients with al follow up of one, 10-13, and 24-27 years demonstrating no difference in either the clinical or radiological outcomes.[52,53] This paper reports the results of a consecutive cohort of patients over a ten year period (who would otherwise have been considered suitable for discectomy), treated by chymopapain chemonucleolysis. There was no selection based on age, size of herniation or level, but simply on the patient's symptoms of dominant leg pain, with or without neurological signs and demonstrated to be due to a herniated disc by an appropriate spinal investigation.

Material and Method

This is a retrospective review of six hundred and five patients treated by the author collated from a review of the operating lists during the period 1991 to 2000, and whose case notes were available for review. Patients were assessed according to the Macnab Criteria (Table 1). All patients had at least three months of non-operative care with analgaesics, relative rest, and physiotherapy and if not significantly improved, they were offered chemonucleolysis. They had dominant leg pain with or without low back pain, restricted Straight leg raising and an investigation demonstrating a causative herniation. Having symptoms requiring surgery prior to chemonucleolysis, I believe that they are all are all classified as poor on Macnab Criteria. Complications and long term outcome including the impact of chemonucleolysis on quality of life of patients with sciatica were assessed. The case records were reviewed for details of presentation, past history of spinal treatments, radiological investigations, peri-operative and post operative complications and subsequent procedures if any. Patients were sent questionnaires to allow follow up assessment of Macnab Criteria, whether satisfied or not satisfied with treatment and an SF36 to allow comparison of Quality of life to the local norm.

Technique of Chemonucleolysis

All patients were offered active intervention if symptoms have not improved following an adequate period of conservative treatment of 6 – 12 weeks and were then listed for the procedure. During the years 2001 to 1995, patients had an overnight stay in Hospital, and from 1995 – 2000 the procedure was performed as a day case. Patients were admitted early in the morning. One hour before the procedure 10 -15 mg of Cyclimorph (morphine tartrate 10 or 15 mg with cyclizine tartrate 50mg/ml) was given by intramuscular injection depending on the patient's

Table 1. Macnab Criteria (Macnab I. "negative disc exploration: an analysis of the cause of nerve root involvement in sixtyeight patients." J Bone Joint Surg (Am) 1971 ;53:891-903).

- Excellent: No pain; no restriction of activity.
- Good: Occasional back or leg pain of sufficient severity to interfere with the patient's ability to do his normal work or his capacity to enjoy himself in his leisure hours.
- Fair: Improved functional capacity, but handicapped by intermittent pain of sufficient severity to curtail or modify work or leisure activities.
- Poor: No improvement or insufficient improvement to enable increase in activities; further operative intervention required.

weight. In the anaesthetic room, a large bore IV was inserted, and through this 2cc Midazolam hydrochloride was given as sedation and the patient, was positioned on the operating table, with a single arm image intensifier, positioned so that simply swinging it through 90 degrees gave a true AP and lateral view of the disc. Ten ml of bupivacaine was injected into the skin and muscle down to the disc. An 18 guage needle passed down to the postero-lateral corner of the disc and then a 22 gage needle passed through it railroad fashion into the center of the nucleus. A discography was performed with a non-ionic contrast (Omnipaque 240 mgm/ml) by slow injection of 0.5cc to demonstrate the dye entering the disc (and often, the herniation). A small amount of propofol (Diprivan, AstraZeneca) was titrated via the IV, just enough to make the patient still, co-operative and amnesic, then one cc of Chymodiactin (2000 I U) was slowly injected. Patients always awoke rapidly and routine monitoring continued in the recovery room for two hours and discharged an hour or two later with a progress advice sheet. An assessment carried out in the recovery area within one hour of the procedure in 50 patients showed that 31 of them (60%) had complete or greatly reduced leg pain with a corresponding reduction in straight leg raising (unpublished data). The rest had moderate or no improvement at that time point.

Results

Review of the case records

The case records contained a description of the patient's pain distribution, and also a pain drawing which demonstrated clearly the distribution of symptoms. Also records of previous history of back pain or back pain surgery and size and position of the herniation. From this the presentation of the patients were classified into typical and atypical presentations. Therefore a typical presentation was a patient, with no previous history of spinal surgery, with dominant leg pain with or without back pain and with or without neurological changes who had restricted straight leg raising and a disc herniation within the spinal canal or lateral recess. These numbered 515 patients (85%).

The atypical presentations numbered 90 patients (15%) are as follows:. (1) Dominant back pain with leg pain (34 patients). (2) Patients who had dominant leg pain due to a far lateral or foramenal herniation (14 patients). None of these required subsequent surgery. (3) Patients who had symptoms due to a recurrent herniation following previous discectomy (11 patients). All of these Patientshad relief of leg pain. (4) patients who had minimal leg pain but who had severe neurological symptoms such as a complete drop foot, marked weakness of foot plantar flexion or quadriceps weakness usually accompanied by paraesthesia or numbness in the same dermatome or dermatomes (3 patients). All of these patient had a rapid improvement immediately following chemonucleolysis and none of them had subsequent surgery. (5) Two level herniations in patients who had leg pain often with significant back pain, with herniations present at two adjacent lumbar levels. Often the symptoms would suggest one particular level, but in general both levels were injected. The majority of these patients who also had significant back pain prior to injection, ultimately had a two level fusion performed. (6) one patient had a cauda equina syndrome (Table 2).

All patients were managed routinely as described above apart from the patient with cauda equina syndrome. He presented with acute onset of bilateral anterior thigh pain, weakness of thigh muscles and numbness thighs and legs over two weeks. On admission, he was unable to stand unaided and bladder and bowel function were intact with numbness extending to the saddle area. He had a previous history of left sciatica for which he had an L4/5 and L5/S1discecto-

Table 2. Indications.

Indication	N (%)
Classical	515 (85%)
Non-Classical	90 (15%)
Leg pain with significant back pain	34 (6%)
Far lateral/foraminal herniation	14 (2%)
Recurrent disc herniation following surgery	3 (0.5%)
dominant neurology with minimal leg pain	3 (0.5%)
two level herniations	27 (4%)
Cauda equina syndrome	1 (0.1%)

my some years previously, and a recurrent right sided prolapse with a repeat discectomy leaving him with a right drop foot for which he used an orthosis. His MRI scan demonstrated a midline extra dural lesion at L2/3 thought most likely to be a disc herniation. A discography was performed with a non-ionic contrast (Omnipaque 240 mgm/ml) by slow injection of 0.5cc demonstrated the dye entering the herniation. The patient was sedated and one cc of Chymodiactin (2000 I U) was slowly injected over two minutes and was monitored closely for any neurological changes. He was subsequently able to stand unaided after 5 days, and at 3 months had fully recovered and returned to his non manual work. His pain score changed from 7.5 to 3.5 and his ODI from 80 to 38.

The average age of the patients was 47 years of age (range 17 – 88 years). Fifty six percent were male and 44 % female. The average duration of symptoms was 10 months (range 1-120 months),with right sided leg pain in 51% and left in 49%. During this period of time the availability of radiological investigation changed so that it was a myelogram in 42 patients, a CT scan in 206 and an MRI scan in 357 patients. We feel that the choice of investigation made little or no difference to the diagnosis as the primary reason for considering intervention was the patients symptoms (Table 3).

The majority of levels treated were single level at L5/S1 (296) and L4/L5 (264) with a small number at L3/4 (16) and L2/3 (2). Twenty seven double levels were treated where there were two level herniations with at least one on the same side with the possibility that one or other or both of these levels were the cause of symptoms. Also typically these patients had a greater tendency to have a greater degree of low back pain in relation to leg pain, and were advised that they were at a greater risk of failure (Table 4).

The average follow up was 62 months, ranging from 12 to 123 months (Table 5). Seventy six percent of patients had good or excellent Macnab scores including those who had subsequent surgery and this equated well with 78 percent of patients who were satisfied with their result. The SF36 scores were compared by age and sex to the normal values for the local population. The relative study numbers were small, and in general equated closely to the normal local values. Eighty four patients (14 %) had subsequent surgery. Twenty four patients (4%) had fusion for persistent disabling chronic low back pain persisting for more than six months following chemonucleolysis. . The majority were two level cases and some patients who presented with dominant back pain before injection and were therefore not ideal candidates for chemonucleolysis. Fifty four patients (9%) had a decompression for persistent leg pain demonstrated by imaging to be due to persistent herniation. It is the author's experience that those patients tended to have a long history of leg pain, or had large herniations as shown in a previously previously randomised study conducted in the unit.[52,53] None of these patients were from the recurrence following surgery and foramenal or far lateral herniation groups. Six patients (1%) had a further disc herniation at the adjacent or other level than the primary one treated by chemonucleolysis.

Complications

There were no complications related to the procedure (Table 6). Eight patients had readmission to hospital, three of these for suspected deep venous thrombosis following surgery, and the rest for persisting back pain following two level chemonucleolysis who ultimately went on to have an instrumented

Table 3. Patient Demographics (605 cases, 1991-2000).

Mean Age	47 years (range 17-88)
Sex	M 56% W 44%
Duration of Symptoms	10 months (range 1 - 120)
Radicular pain	Right (51%); left (49%)
Investigation	Myelogram 42 patients; CT Scan 206 patients; MRI 357 patients

Table 4. Distribution of injections.

L5-S1	296
L4-5	263
L3-4	16
L2-3	3
L4-5 & L5-S1	25
L3-4 & L4-5	2

fusion. There were no cases of infection, no neurological complications no cases of anaphylaxis, and no recurrent herniations at the same level. Six patients had a second herniation at another level, all treated by chemonucleolysis (Table 3); one patient had a fall in blood pressure which was corrected by infusion of one and a half litres of normal saline and without additional medication. He recovered normally thereafter. Because of the potential risk of sensitisation, these patients were premedicated with Chlorpheniramine maleate 6 mgs every six hours for 24 hours prior to the procedure to protect against possible anaphylaxis, accepting that this might alleviate the severity of anaphylaxis, but not prevent it.

Prior to this series, the author had trialled a series of over 300 patients who were RAST tested for allergy to chymopapain, prior to chemonucleolysis. Two suffered mild skin rashes (one RAST negative and one showing mild positive reaction) One patient had an anaphylactic reaction, the only one of over 2,000 procedures, and she was RAST negative. The use of the RAST test was discontinued. It is essential that all patients have a large bore IV inserted prior to the procedure to allow for rapid infusion on the rare occasion it may be necessary. In over 2,000 cases there was one case of discitis following injection. Two patients had a reduced blood pressure corrected by IV fluids; one had mild facial swelling and two mild skin rashes occurred, in total 7 complications attributable to chemonucleolysis.

Table 5. Results.

Average Follow-up	62 months (range 12 - 123)
Macnab (Excellent and Good)	76%
Satisfaction	78%
Surgical Intervention	14%
Fusion/Stabilisation	4% (24 patients)
Decompression	9% (54 patients)
Chemonucleolysis	1% (6 patients)

SF-36 scores generally correlated with age/sex of normal scores for the local population.

Table 6. Complications.

Infection	0
Neurological	0
Anaphylaxis	0
Recurrent herniation	0

Discussion

This paper describes a consecutive series of patients presenting to a single spinal unit treated by chemonucleolysis who would equally have been considered suitable for surgical discectomy At least one half of them were treated as a day case once it was realised that it was a suitable option. Seventy eight percent of patients were satisfied with their outcome which compares exactly with satisfaction outcomes for discectomy at 2 years in data from the Swedish Spine Registry.[55] The registry does not report the incidence of recurrent herniations, but shows that 12 per cent of patients who have a discectomy had previous spinal surgery.[55] No patients in this series had a recurrent herniation at the same level and this is significant considering that the recurrence rate at the same level following discectomy varies from 5 to over 27% within two years with an average of 5 and 10% at one and ten years.[56,57,58] Aggressive discectomy reduces the risk but increases the longterm incidence of back and leg pain.[59,60] Annular closure devices have been developed in an attempt to reduce the herniation level but the results are not conclusive.[61] Nine per cent of patients did have surgery for continuing leg pain that had failed to subside within a reasonable time usually around 3 months and 91% of patients therefore did not require decompression surgery for their disc herniation. A feature of surgery at the same level following failed chemonucleolysis is that there is no fibrosis whatsoever in contrast to surgery for recurrent herniation where fibrosis and scar results in increased complications. Attempts to reduce the fibrosis have been tried but results are inconclusive.[62,63] It has been previously shown that disc herniations occur at all ages and the degree of degenerative change in this group of patients is unrelated to age.[54] Also failures of chemonucleolysis occur most often in younger patients.[53] The pathology and presentation of acute disc herniation, as described in the materials and method, is quite different from that of spinal stenosis which is a chronic degenerative process, or spondylolisthesis. Four percent of patients in this series did go on to a spinal fusion due to persistent back pain following the chemonucleolysis

procedure. These patients were all either two level cases or had dominant back pain prior to chemonucleolysis and were therefore not ideal candidates. Publications of treatments for disc herniation do not report the subsequent numbers of patients who afterwards require a spinal fusion. However, the Swedish Spine Registry reports that 35 percent of patients who have a spinal fusion have had previous spinal surgery.[55] It is likely that many of these had a previous discectomy. The outcome of decompression surgery for lumbar herniated disc is influenced by the level of concomitant preoperative low back pain[64] and similarly the author has documented similarly that a poorer outcome is to be expected in patients with significant low back pain prior to chemonucleolysis.

There were no significant complications due to the procedure in this series of 605 patients; no infections, no neurological complications and no anaphylaxis although in over 2000 cases treated in the unit, there were two notable complications, one of anaphylaxis and one of infection. The other minor reactions, such as a drop in blood pressure, could have been due to medications or factors other than the chymopapain. Nordby et al reported that during the period 1982 – 1991, there were 121 adverse events reported to the FDA out of 135,000 patients, that is a complication rate of 0.09%.[65] He compared those to the largest series of surgical complications published by Ramirez and Thisted where the overall complication rate was 1.13%.[66] On these figures surgery has over twelve times the complication rate of chemonucleolysis. Dural tear is a complication of discectomy by whatever means, standard open, micro MIS endoscopic and endoscopic foraminal.[67-71] It usually does not have long term sequelae but the rare occurrence of CSF leak and iatrogenic meningoceal causes significant morbidity.[72-75] Teli et al compares MIS endoscopic treatment with micro, and standard surgery and found increased dural tears in endoscopic discectomy.[76] In addition, Minimally Invasive Surgery does not decrease muscle damage as claimed compared to conventional surgery.[77] Chymopapain is injected usually using an 18 guage (1.27 mm) needle. Ozone treatment is the only other minimal intervention treatment available with a similarly low complication rate to chemonucleolysis, but has had less usage and no long term follow up beyond two years.[78] Finally in the USA, authors have shown significantly reduced costs comparing chemonucleolysis to surgery, taking all factors into account including further surgery.[79]

This paper demonstrates that chemonucleolysis is a day-case procedure requiring a short operative time compared to surgery, which generally also requires an overnight stay. Nine percent of patients require surgery in the form of a decompression which compares favourably to surgery where 5 to 21 % may require a second surgery for a recurrent herniation.

Conclusion

Chemonucleolysis therefore is the most cost effective, least invasive and safest treatment available for soft disc herniation with an equal efficacy to other treatments; and has a large body of literature to support this. We owe it to our patients to make it available as a treatment.

Acknowledgements

The author wishes to acknowledge the help of Drs Amol J Rege and Abushek Kumar for assisting review of case records and sending and reviewing patient questionnaires.

References

1. Smith L. Enzyme dissolution of the Nucleus Pulposus in Humans. J.A.M.A.187; 137-140;1964
2. McCulloch JA, Weiner DS, Hugo EP, Galway RD and Dall D. Chemonucleolysis. *Can J Surg*. 1971;14:280-289.
3. Nordby EJ and Lucas GL. A comparative analysis of lumbar disc disease treated by laminectomy or chemonucleolysis. *Clin Orthop*. 1973;90:119-129.
4. McCulloch JA. Chemonucleolysis. *J Bone Joint Surg*. 1977;59;45.
5. Onofrio BM. Injection of Chymopapain into intervertebral discs: Preliminary report on 72 patients with symptoms of disc disease. *J Neurosurg*. 1975;42:384-388.
6. Watts C, Hutchinson G, Stern J and Clark K. Comparison of intervertebral disc disease treatment by Chymopapain injection and open surgery. *J Neu-*

rosurg. 1975;42:397-400.
7. Dabezies EJ and Brunet M. Chemonucleolysis vs laminectomy. *Orthopedics*. 1978;1:26-29.
8. McDermott DJ, Agre K, Brim M, et al. Chymodiactin in patients with herniated lumbar intervertebral disc(s): An open-label, multicenter study. *Spine*. 1985;10:242-249.
9. Javid MJ. Efficacy of Chymopapain chemonucleolysis: A long-term review of 105 patients. *J Neurosurg*. 1985;62:662-666.
10. Parkinson D. Late results of treatment of intervertebral disc disease with Chymopapain. *J Neurosurg*. 1983;59:990-993.
11. Flanagan N and Smith L. Clinical studies of chemonucleolysis patients with ten- to twenty-year follow-up evaluation. *Clin Orthop*. 1986;206:15-17.
12. Nordby EJ. Long term results in chemonucleolysis. Editorial comment. *Clin Orthop*. 1986a;206:2-3.
13. Maciunas RJ, Onofrio BM. The long term results of Chymopapain. Ten year follow of up 268 patients after chemonucleolysis. *Clin Orthop*. 1986;206:37-41.
14. Mansfield F, Polivy K, Boyd R, Huddleston J. Long term results of Chymopapain injections. *Clin Orthop*. 1986;206:67-69.
15. Alexander AH, Burkus JK, Mitchell JB, Ayers WV. Chymopapain chemonucleolysis versus surgical discectomy in a military population. *Clin Orthop*. 1989;244:158-65.
16. Chymopapain versus conventional surgery for lumbar disc herniation. 10-year results of treatment. Tregonning GD, Transfeldt EE, McCulloch JA, Macnab I, Nachemson A. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1991 May;73(3):481-6.
17. Stern IJ. Biochemistry of Chymopapain. *Clin Orthop*. 1969;67:42-46
18. Kapsalis AA, Stern IJ and Bornstein I. The fate of Chymopapain injected for therapy of intervertebral disc disease. *J Lab Clin Med*. 1974
19. Jenner JR, Buttle DJ, Dixon AK.Mechanism of action of intradiscal chymopapain in the treatment of sciatica: a clinical, biochemical, and radiological study. Ann Rheum Dis. 1986 Jun;45(6):441-9.
20. Fraser RD. Chymopapain for the treatment of intervertebral disc herniation. The final report of a double-blind study. Spine. 9(8):815-8, 1984 Nov-Dec.
21. Javid MJ, Nordby EJ, Ford LT, Hejna WJ, Whisler WW, Burton C, Millett DK, Wiltse LL, Widell EH Jr, Boyd RJ, Newton SE, Thisted R. Safety and efficacy of chymopapain (Chymodiactin) in herniated nucleus pulposus with sciatica. Results of a randomized, double-blind study. JAMA. 1983 May 13;249(18):2489-94.
22. Dabezies EJ. Langford K. Morris J. Shields CB. Wilkinson HA. Safety and efficacy of chymopapain (Discase) in the treatment of sciatica due to a herniated nucleus pulposus. Results of a randomized, double-blind study. Spine. 13(5):561-5, 1988 May.
23. Feldman J. Menkes CJ. Pallardy G. Chevrot A. Horreard P. Zenny JC. Godefroy D. Amor B.Double-blind study of the treatment of disc lumbosciatica by chemonucleolysis]. Revue du Rhumatisme et des Maladies Osteo-Articulaires. 53(3):147-52, 1986 Mar.
24. Crawshaw C. Frazer AM. Merriam WF. Mulholland RC. Webb JK. A comparison of surgery and chemonucleolysis in the treatment of sciatica. A prospective randomized trial. Spine. 9(2):195-8, 1984 Mar.
25. Postacchini F, Lami R, Massobrio M. Chemonucleolysis versus surgery in lumbar disc herniations: correlation of the results to preoperative clinical pattern and size of the herniation. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 1987 Mar;12(2):87-96.
26. Wardlaw D. The Case for Chemonucleolysis. Orthopaedics Intl. Ed. 1995; 3(5); 401-5.
27. Muralikuttan KP. Hamilton A. Kernohan WG. Mollan RA. Adair IV. A prospective randomized trial of chemonucleolysis and conventional disc surgery in single level lumbar disc herniation. Spine. 17(4):381-7, 1992 Apr.
28. Simmons JW. Nordby EJ. Hadjipavlou AG.Chemonucleolysis: the state of the art. European Spine Journal. 10(3):192-202, 2001 Jun.
29. Chemonucleolysis. Nordby EJ, Fraser RD, Javid MJ. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 1996 May 1;21(9):1102-5. Review.
30. Williams RW. Microlumbar discectomy: a conservative surgical approach to the virgin herniated lumbar disc. Spine. 3(2):175-82, 1978 Jun.
31. Capanna AH. Williams RW. Austin DC. Darmody WR. Thomas LM. Lumbar discectomy--percentage of disc removal and detection of anterior annulus perforation. Spine. 6(6):610-4, 1981 Nov-

Dec.
32. Jansson KA, Nemeth G, Granath F, Blomqvist P. Surgery for herniation of a lumbar disc in Sweden between 1987 and 1999. An analysis of 27,576 operations. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2004 Aug;86(6):841-7.
33. Carragee EJ, Spinnickie AO,Alamin TF,Paragioudakis S. *A prospective controlled study of limited versus subtotal posterior discectomy: short-term outcomes in patients with herniated* lumbar intervertebral discs and large posterior anular defect. Spine. 2006 Mar 15;31(6):653
34. Pearson AM, Blood EA, Frymoyer JW, Herkowitz H, Abdu WA, Woodward R, Longley M, Emery SE, Lurie JD, Tosteson TD, Weinstein JN SPORT lumbar intervertebral disk herniation and back pain: does treatment, location, or morphology matter?. Spine. 33(4):428-35, 2008 Feb 1
35. Weinstein JN, Lurie JD, Tosteson TD, Skinner JS, Hanscom B, Tosteson AN, Herkowitz H, Fischgrund J, Cammisa FP, Albert T, Deyo RA Surgical vs nonoperative treatment for lumbar disk herniation: the Spine Patient Outcomes Research Trial (SPORT) observational cohort. JAMA. 296(20):2451-9, 2006 Nov 22
36. Weinstein JN. Lurie JD. Tosteson TD. Tosteson AN. Blood EA. Abdu WA. Herkowitz H. Hilibrand A. Albert T. Fischgrund J. Surgical versus nonoperative treatment for lumbar disc herniation: four-year results for the Spine Patient Outcomes Research Trial (SPORT). Spine. 33(25):2789-800, 2008 Dec 1.
37. Peul WC, van den Hout WB, Brand R, Thomeer RT, Koes BW,Prolonged conservative care versus early surgery in patients with sciatica caused by lumbar disc herniation: two year results of a randomised controlled trial; BMJ. 336(7657):1351-4, 2008
38. van den Hout WB, Peul WC, Koes BW, Brand R, Kievit J, Thomeer RT, Leiden-The Hague Spine Intervention Prognostic Study Group Prolonged conservative care versus early surgery in patients with sciatica from lumbar disc herniation: cost utility analysis alongside a randomised controlled trial BMJ. 336(7657):1351-4, 2008 Jun 14.
39. Ramirez LF, Thisted R. Complications and demographic characteristics of patients undergoing lumbar disectomy in community hospitals. *Neurosurgery*. 1989
40. Gaston P, Marshall RW. Survival analysis is a better estimate of recurrent disc herniation. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2003 May;85(4):535-7.
41. Carragee EJ, Han MY, Suen PW, Kim D. Clinical outcomes after lumbar discectomy for sciatica: the effects of fragment type and anular competence. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2003 Jan;85-A(1):102-8.
42. Nykvist F, Hurme M, Alaranta H, Kaitsaari M. *Severe sciatica: a 13-year follow-up of 342 patients.* Eur Spine J. 1995;4(6):335-8.
43. Loupasis, George A. MD; Stamos, Konstadinos MD; Katonis, Paul G. MD; Sapkas, George MD; Korres, Dimitrios S. MD; Hartofilakidis, George MD Seven- to 20-Year Outcome of Lumbar Discectomy. Spine. 24(22):2313, November 15, 1999.
44. C Henriques T. Olerud C. Petren-Mallmin M. Ahl T . Cauda equina syndrome as a postoperative complication in five patients operated for lumbar disc herniation Spine. 26(3):293-7, 2001 Feb 1.
45. Ammerman JM. Ammerman MD. Dambrosia J. Ammerman BJ. A prospective evaluation of the role for intraoperative x-ray in lumbar discectomy. Predictors of incorrect level exposure. Surgical Neurology. 66(5):470-3; discussion 473-4, 2006 Nov
46. Wu CY. Hung YN. Liu YH. Ko PJ. Endovascular treatment of iatrogenic iliac artery disruption in lumbar disc surgery. Annals of Vascular Surgery. 23(2):255.e7-11, 2009 Mar.
47. Gibson JN. Waddell G,Surgical interventions for lumbar disc prolapse: updated Cochrane Review. Spine. 32(16):1735-47, 2007 Jul 15.
48. Kambin P. Zhou L. History and current status of percutaneous arthroscopic disc surgery. Spine. 21(24 Suppl):57S-61S, 1996 Dec 15
49. Yeung AT. Tsou PM. Posterolateral endoscopic excision for lumbar disc herniation: Surgical technique, outcome, and complications in 307 consecutive cases. Spine. 27(7):722-31, 2002 Apr 1.
50. Hoogland T. van den Brekel-Dijkstra K. Schubert M. Miklitz B. Endoscopic transforaminal discectomy for recurrent lumbar disc herniation: a prospective, cohort evaluation of 262 consecutive cases. Spine. 33(9):973-8, 2008 Apr 20.
51. Ruetten S. Komp M. Merk H. Godolias G.Full-endoscopic cervical posterior foraminotomy for the operation of lateral disc herniations using 5.9-mm endoscopes: a prospective, randomized, controlled study. Spine. 33(9):940-8, 2008 Apr 20.

52. Nellensteijn J. Ostelo R. Bartels R. Peul W. van Royen B. van Tulder M. Transforaminal endoscopic surgery for symptomatic lumbar disc herniations: a systematic review of the literature. European Spine Journal. 19(2):181-204, 2010 Feb.
53. Wardlaw, Douglas; Rithchie, Ian K. Sabboubeh, Adel F. Vavdha, Mukta; Downing, Martin; Eastmond, Clifford J. A prospective randomized study of chemonucleolysis compared to surgery for soft discherniation with one year, intermediate and long term follow up: Part I The clinical Outcome. Spine. 38(17):E1051-E1057, August 01, 2013
54. Wardlaw, Douglas; Rithchie, Ian K. Sabboubeh, Adel F. Vavdha, Mukta, Eastmond, Clifford J. , A Prospective Randomized Trial of Chemonucleolysis Compared With Surgery for Soft Disc Herniation With 1-Year, Intermediate, and Long-Term Outcome: Part II: The Radiological Outcome. Spine. 38(17):E1058-E1064, August 01, 2013.
55. Macnab I. "Negative disc exploration: an analysis of the cause of nerve root involvement in sixty-eight patients. J Bone Joint Surg (Am) 1971 ;53:891-903
56. Björn Strömqvist, Peter Fritzell, Olle Hägg, Bo Jönsson, Bengt Sandén, and Swedish Society of Spinal Surgeons. Swespine: the Swedish spine register; The 2012 report Eur Spine J. Apr 2013; 22(4): 953–974. Published online Apr 11, 2013. doi: 10.1007/s00586-013-2758-9
57. Gaston P, Marshall RW. Survival analysis is a better estimate of recurrent disc herniation. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2003 May;85(4):535-7.
58. Jansson KA, Nemeth G, Granath F, Blomqvist P. *Surgery for herniation of a lumbar disc in Sweden between 1987 and 1999. An analysis of 27,576 operations.* Bone Joint Surg Br. 2004 Aug;86(6):841-7.
59. Carragee EJ, Han MY, Suen PW, Kim D. *Clinical outcomes after lumbar discectomy for sciatica: the effects of fragment type and anular competence.* J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2003 Jan;85-A(1):102-8.
60. McGirt MJ, Ambrossi GL, Datoo G, Sciubba DM, Witham TF, Wolinsky JP, Gokaslan ZL, Bydon A. Recurrent disc herniation and long-term back pain after primary lumbar discectomy: review of outcomes reported for limited versus aggressive disc removal. Neurosurgery. 2009 Feb;64(2):338-44; discussion 344-5. doi: 10.1227/01.NEU.0000337574.58662.E2.
61. Watters WC 3rd, McGirt MJ. An evidence-based review of the literature on the consequences of conservative versus aggressive discectomy for the treatment of primary disc herniation with radiculopathy. Spine J. 2009 Mar;9(3):240-57. doi: 10.1016/j.spinee.2008.08.005. Epub 2008 Sep 21.
62. Bouma GJ, Barth M, Ledic D, Vilendecic M. The high-risk discectomy patient: prevention of reherniation in patients with large anular defects using an anular closure device. Eur Spine J. 2013 May;22(5):1030-6. doi: 10.1007/s00586-013-2656-1. Epub 2013 Feb 3
63. Fransen P. Prevention of scar tissue formation in spinal surgery: state of the art and review of the literature. J Neurosurg Sci. 2011 Sep;55(3):277-81.
64. Liu L, Sui T, Hong X, Wu X, Cao X. Inhibition of epidural fibrosis after microendoscopic discectomy with topical application of mitomycin C: a randomized, controlled, double-blind trial. J Neurosurg Spine. 2013 May;18(5):421-7. doi: 10.3171/2013.1.SPINE12564. Epub 2013 Mar 8.
65. F. S. Kleinstueck, T. Fekete, D. Jeszenszky, A. F. Mannion, D. Grob, F. Lattig, U. Mutter, F. Porchet The outcome of decompression surgery for lumbar herniated disc is influenced by the level of concomitant preoperative low back pain. Eur Spine J. 2011 July; 20(7): 1166–1173. Published online 2011 January 12. doi: 10.1007/s00586-010-1670-9
66. Nordby EJ. Wright PH. Schofield SR.Safety of chemonucleolysis. Adverse effects reported in the United States, 1982-1991. Clinical Orthopaedics & Related Research. (293):122-34, 1993 Aug.
67. Ramirez LF, Thisted R. Complications and demographic characteristics of patients undergoing lumbar disectomy in community hospitals. *Neurosurgery*. 1989
68. Guerin P, El Fegoun AB, Obeid I, Gille O, Lelong L, Luc S, Bourghli A, Cursolle JC, Pointillart V, Vital JM. Incidental durotomy during spine surgery: incidence, management and complications. A retrospective review. Injury. 2012 Apr;43(4):397-401. doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2010.12.014. Epub 2011 Jan 19.
69. Ruetten S, Komp M, Merk H, Godolias G. Full-endoscopic interlaminar and transforaminal lumbar discectomy versus conventional microsurgical technique: a prospective, randomized, controlled study.

Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2008 Apr 20;33(9):931-9. doi: 10.1097/BRS.0b013e31816c8af7.
70. Fourney DR, Dettori JR, Norvell DC, Dekutoski MB. Does minimal access tubular assisted spine surgery increase or decrease complications in spinal decompression or fusion? Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2010 Apr 20;35(9 Suppl):S57-65. doi: 10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181d82bb8.
71. McMahon P, Dididze M, Levi AD. Incidental durotomy after spinal surgery: a prospective study in an academic institution. J Neurosurg Spine. 2012 Jul;17(1):30-6. doi: 10.3171/2012.3.SPINE11939. Epub 2012 Apr 27.
72. Yoshihara H, Yoneoka D. Incidental dural tear in lumbar spinal decompression and discectomy: analysis of a nationwide database. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2013 Nov;133(11):1501-8. doi: 10.1007/s00402-013-1843-1. Epub 2013 Sep 4
73. Hershman S, Cuellar VG, Bendo JA Delayed presentation of incidental durotomy. Bull Hosp Jt Dis (2013). 2013;71(3):231-4.
74. Asha MJ, George KJ, Choksey M. Pseudomeningocele presenting with cauda equina syndrome: is a 'ball-valve' theory the answer? Br J Neurosurg. 2011 Dec;25(6):766-8. doi: 10.3109/02688697.2011.578768. Epub 2011 May 18.
75. Pavlou G, Bucur SD, van Hille PT. Entrapped spinal nerve roots in a pseudomeningocoele as a complication of previous spinal surgery. Acta Neurochir (Wien). 2006 Feb;148(2):215-9; discussion 219-20. Epub 2005 De
76. Tan LA, Kasliwal MK, O'Toole JE. Compressive radiculopathy due to delayed pseudomeningocele secondary to occult dural tear following tubular lumbar microdiscectomy. Neurol India. 2014 May-Jun;62(3):325-7. doi: 10.4103/0028-3886.137013.
77.
78. Teli M, Lovi A, Brayda-Bruno M, Zagra A, Corriero A, Giudici F, Minoia L. Higher risk of dural tears and recurrent herniation with lumbar micro-endoscopic discectomy. Eur Spine J. 2010 Mar;19(3):443-50. doi: 10.1007/s00586-010-1290-4. Epub 2010 Feb 3.
79. Arts M, Brand R, van der Kallen B, Lycklama à Nijeholt G, Peul W. Does minimally invasive lumbar disc surgery result in less muscle injury than conventional surgery? A randomized controlled trial. Eur Spine J. 2011 Jan;20(1):51-7. doi: 10.1007/s00586-010-1482-y. Epub 2010 Jun 16.
80. Steppan J, Meaders T, Muto M, Murphy KJ. A metaanalysis of the effectiveness and safety of ozone treatments for herniated lumbar discs. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2010 Apr;21(4):534-48. doi: 10.1016/j.jvir.2009.12.393. Epub 2010 Feb 25
81. Ramirez LF, Javid MJ. Cost effectiveness of chemonucleolysis versus laminectomy in the treatment of herniated nucleus pulposus. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 1985 May;10(4):363-7.

Disclosures & COI

This work was carried out without specific funding and the author has no conflicts of interest relating to this work.

Corresponding Author

Douglas Wardlaw MB ChB ChM FRCSEd, 109 Inchgarth Road, Pitfodels, Cults, Aberdeen AB15 9NX, United Kingdom. dwardlaw@btinternet.com.

Published 31 December 2016.