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Abstract

Background
Minimally invasive transforaminal endoscopic procedures can achieve spinal decompression through either direct or indirect techniques. Subtle variations in trajectory of the surgical corridor can dictate access to the pathologic tissue. Two general strategies exist: the intradiscal "inside-out" technique and the extradiscal, intracanal (IC) technique. The IC technique utilizes a more lateral transforaminal approach than the intradiscal technique, which allows for a more direct decompression of the spinal canal.

Objective
This study is an assessment of IC patient outcome data obtained through analysis of a previously validated MIS Prospective Registry.

Methods
Post-hoc analysis was performed on the MIS Prospective Registry database containing 1032 patients. A subgroup of patients treated with the endoscopic IC technique was identified. Patient outcome measures after treatment of symptomatic disk herniation and neuroforaminal stenosis were evaluated.

Results
A total of 86 IC patients were analyzed. Overall, there was significant improvement in employment and walking tolerance as soon as 6 weeks post-op as well as significant one year VAS and ODI score improvement. Subanalysis of IC patients with two distinct primary diagnoses was performed. Group IC-1 (disc herniation) showed improvement in ODI and VAS back and leg outcomes at 1 year post-op. Group IC-2 (foraminal stenosis) showed VAS back and leg score improvement at one year post-op but did not demonstrate significant improvement in overall ODI outcome at any time point. The one year re-operation rate was 2% (1/40) for group IC-1 and 28% (5/18) for group IC-2.

Conclusions
The initial results of the MIS Registry IC subgroup show a significant clinical improvement when the technique is employed to treat patients with lumbar disk herniation. The treatment of foraminal stenosis can lead to improved short-term clinical outcome but is associated with a high re-operation rate at 1 year post-op.
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Introduction
Minimally invasive spine (MIS) procedures avoid extensive soft tissue dissection and disruption of the stabilizing paraspinal musculature, which can substantially decrease post-operative morbidity and improve health care economics.1 There has been an increasing demand for less invasive surgical options by both patients and referring physicians as evidence for the utility and benefit of MIS techniques continues to propagate. Subsequently, there has been a surge of innovation and development of novel minimally inva-
sive surgical approaches to address spinal disorders after conservative measures fail.

Minimally invasive lumbar discectomy has significantly evolved since being introduced by Kambin and Gellman in 1983. Initially, these procedures achieved decompression through a central nucleotomy to indirectly relieve pressure on the exiting nerve root. Frost and Hausmann later proposed the concurrent use of intervertebral endoscopy with intradiscal nucleotomy to visually confirm adequate decompression about the nerve root. These endoscopic procedures utilized an intradiscal method commonly referred to as the “inside-out technique” that began with a partial nucleotomy followed by removal of disc fragments through the nucleotomy defect and endoscopic channel. The “inside-out” technique has been shown to be highly effective, but surgeon adoption rate has been impacted by the lengthy and gradual learning curve associated with this technically demanding procedure.

The intracanal endoscopic (IC) technique is an extradiscal approach that utilizes a more cephalad to caudal approach through the neuroforamen to allow direct removal of the disc herniation from within the canal (Figure 1). This study utilizes a validated, prospective registry to compare the effectiveness of the intracanal (IC) endoscopic technique to treat lumbar disk herniations vs. foraminal stenosis.

**Methods**

Analysis was performed on the MIS Prospective Registry, a previously validated prospective, multicenter database established to collect Health Related Quality of Life (HRQOL) outcome metrics on patients treated with various minimally invasive spine surgery techniques. Patient data obtained from 17 surgeons at 15 sites of various practice settings were de-identified and electronically entered into the MIS Registry. Patient assessment of Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) and Visual Analog Scale (VAS) for low back and radiating leg pain were obtained at the pre-op, 2 week, 6 week, 3 month, 6 month, 12 month and 24 month post-operative time points. A novel pre-operative diagnosis and surgical procedure table was constructed to allow data collection on a level-by-level basis for each patient. These diagnosis and procedure grids were completed by the operating surgeon and electronically entered into the Registry database. Complications were collected through a distinct, surgeon-completed questionnaire. Reportable complications were collected using the Spine Adverse Events Severity System.

Post-hoc analysis was performed on Registry data to extract subjects who underwent IC procedures using a single decompression system (Joimax Inc., Irvine, CA, USA). The IC endoscopic technique utilizes a transforaminal, extra-discal approach previously described by Hoogland and recently modified by Ipren-
Compared to the intradiscal “inside-out” technique, the IC approach trajectory is more cephalad and lateral in an effort to reach the disk herniation within the canal (Figure 1A and Figure 1B). External reamers of increasing diameter are used to open the neuroforamen, which allows the endoscopic cannula to reach the spinal canal without violation of the posterior annulus. Using various angled instruments, including a flexible, curved grasper, the disk fragments are removed directly (Figure 1C and Figure 1D).

Data were segmented based on gender, primary indication, operative level, previous lumbar surgery, BMI, co-morbidity and pre-operative pain score for outcome measure analysis of each subgroup. Specific questions within the ODI questionnaire were evaluated to assess post-operative change in walking tolerance (ODI question #4) and employment limitations (ODI question #8). Statistical analyses were performed via one-way ANOVA or t-tests with significance defined as p < 0.05. Data are reported as means ± SD, unless otherwise specified.

Results

A total of 86 IC patients with a median age of 49 years (range 18-89 years) were extracted from the total MIS Prospective Registry population of 1032 patients for analysis (Figure 2). Median hospital stay was 0 days. Overall ODI scores were 43.4 ± 18.0 pre-op (n=83), 29.4 ± 19.7 at 6 weeks post-op (n=45, p=0.001), 24.6 ± 21.4 at 6 Months post-op (n=23, p=0.001) and 25.4 ± 23.1 at 1 year post-op (n=59, p=0.001) (Figure 3). Employment disability showed significant improvement at all post-op time points: Pre-operative (3.3 ± 1.3, n=83), 6 week post-op (2.2 ± 1.3, n=45, p=0.001), 3 month post-op (1.9 ± 1.2, n=37, p=0.001), 6 month post-op (1.7 ± 1.4, n=27, p=0.001), and 1 year post-op (1.2 ± 1.1, n=59, p=0.001). Walking disability also improved at all post-operative time points: Pre-operative (2.9 ± 1.3, n=82), 6 week post-op (2.0 ± 1.4, n=47, p=0.01), 3 month post-op (2.0 ± 1.5, n=45, p=0.01), 6 month post-op (1.9 ± 1.5, n=27, p=0.05), and 1 year post-op (1.6 ± 1.4, n=59, p=0.001)(Figure 4). There was significant overall VAS score improvement: pre-operative VAS (back=5.9 ± 2.8, leg=6.6 ± 2.6, n=78), 6 week post-op (back=3.2 ± 2.9, leg=3.4 ± 2.9, n=49, p<0.05), 6 month post-op (back=2.8 ± 2.8, leg=2.5 ± 2.7, n=24, p<0.05), and 1 year post-op (back=3.1 ± 2.9, leg=1.7 ± 2.2, n=60, p<0.05)(Figure 3).

There were no intraoperative or perioperative complications such as dural tears, nerve injuries, post-operative infections, or 90-day readmissions.

A subanalysis of IC patients with two distinct primary diagnoses was performed. Group IC-1 underwent treatment for disc herniation with radiculopathy (n=40). The primary indication for group IC-2 was bony neuroforaminal stenosis secondary to spondylosis or a stable grade 1 spondylolisthesis (n=18) (Figure 2). A diagnosis of spondylolisthesis did not result...
in significant differences between any outcome measures when compared to subjects with spondylosis. Group IC-1 (disc herniation) showed improvement in ODI (19.4 points, \( p=0.0002 \), \( n=40 \)) and VAS (back=2.9 points, leg =2.9 points, \( p=0.0001 \), \( n=40 \)) scores at 1 year post-op. There was also significant improvement in functional walking ability (1.5 points, \( n=36 \), \( p<0.0001 \)) and employment tolerance (2.6 points, \( n=36 \), \( p<0.0001 \)) at one year post-op. Group IC-2 (foraminal stenosis) showed VAS score improvement (back=2.7 points, leg =3.5 points, \( p=0.001 \), \( n=18 \)) at one year post-op but did not demonstrate significant improvement in overall ODI outcome (7.1 point improvement, \( p=0.06 \), \( n=17 \)). Subjects that underwent intracanal endoscopic decompression for a primary diagnosis of bony foraminal stenosis did demonstrate significant improvement in walking tolerance (1.1 points, \( n=17 \), \( p=0.003 \)) and employment tolerance (1.2 points, \( n=17 \), \( p=0.005 \)) at one year post-op. One year re-operation rate was 2% (1/40, a revision endoscopic discectomy for recurrent disk herniation) for group IC-1 and 28% (5/18) for group IC-2. The 5 re-operations in group IC-2 involved subsequent reconstruction and fusion for definitive treatment.

Discussion

This analysis of the MIS Prospective Registry intracanal transforaminal endoscopic lumbar surgery subgroup demonstrates significant clinical improvement lasting from the initial perioperative period to at least 1 year after surgery. There was clinical improvement in axial low back pain, leg pain, and key functional parameters of the Oswestry Disability Index in all analyzed subgroups. The endoscopic technique revealed a high safety profile, with all patients successfully treated on an outpatient basis without an intraoperative complication or 90 day readmission.

Stratification of data based on primary surgical indication demonstrated the best patient outcomes occurred after IC endoscopic decompression of a soft disc herniation with radiculopathy. This subgroup of patients reported functional improvement surpassing the minimal clinically important difference in Oswestry Disability Index score as well as increased employment tolerance starting 6 weeks after surgery.\(^{14}\)

The clinical improvement after IC endoscopic decompression is comparable to what has been reported in many studies of microdiscectomy, which remains the current “gold standard” surgical decompression technique.\(^{15-21}\) This is best displayed in the IC-1 group that demonstrated a 19.4 point improvement in ODI and a re-operation rate of 2% at one year. Improvement in ODI after microdiscectomy to treat a soft disc herniation has been reported to range from 6-8 points to over 30 points in studies such as the SPORT randomized trial.\(^{15,17,21}\) Findlay et al. reported a 5.1% rate of revision surgery in a 10 year follow-up study after microdiscectomy while others, such as Kowalski et al., report re-operation rates as high as 12% at 34 months after a primary microdiscectomy.\(^{20,21}\) A limitation of our study is the lack of long-term outcome data that can be used to calculate the rate of symptomatic degenerative disc disease at 5-10 years after surgery. However, the reoperation rate for a recurrent disc herniation one year after IC decompression of a soft disc herniation was 1.8%, which is similar to the 2% one-year reoperation rate for recurrent disc herniation that was reported in the often cited SPORT trial.\(^{15}\)

The treatment of bony foraminal stenosis with endoscopic decompression can lead to improved short term clinical outcome but is associated with a high rate of revision arthrodesis procedures within one year. This is likely secondary to technical limitations inherent to transforaminal endoscopic decompres-
sion systems. Namely, the osseous reaming apparatus may result in inadequate intraoperative decompression of osseous structures leading to residual symptoms in some patients within this subgroup. Newly developed intracanal, endoscopic decompression systems have implemented novel features to address osseous foraminal stenosis including a less cumbersome reaming tool that can be manipulated within the endoscope with more agility by the operating surgeon.

The main limitation of this study is the inconsistent rate of data collection at scheduled follow up intervals, including the one year follow up period. Although data collection through a prospective registry allows post-hoc extraction of a large sample size, there is inherently less stringent monitoring of patient data collection than with a randomized controlled trial. Additionally, this study did not record the duration of symptoms prior to surgical intervention and did not include a non-surgical control group. Nevertheless, this study of endoscopic transforaminal discectomy demonstrates promising results in patients with symptomatic disc herniations.

Complications
There were no reported complications including dural tears, neurovascular injuries, post-operative infections, medical sequele or 90 day readmissions.

Conclusion
This study shows a significant clinical and functional improvement when the IC endoscopic technique is employed to treat patients with lumbar disc herniation. The treatment of foraminal stenosis can lead to improved short-term clinical outcome but is associated with a high re-operation rate at 1 year postop. The long-term outcomes, together with higher-level analysis of cost-effectiveness (cost/quality adjusted life year) will be forthcoming with the continued growth of the MIS Registry database.
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