

Patient Optimization for the Prevention of Proximal Junctional Kyphosis

Brendan F. Judy, Jovanna A. Tracz, Safwan Alomari and Timothy F. Witham

Int J Spine Surg published online 15 June 2023 https://www.ijssurgery.com/content/early/2023/06/15/8510

This information is current as of May 4, 2025.

Email Alerts Receive free email-alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up at: http://ijssurgery.com/alerts



International Journal of Spine Surgery, Vol. 00, No. 0, 2023, pp. 1–8 https://doi.org/10.14444/8510
© International Society for the Advancement of Spine Surgery

Patient Optimization for the Prevention of Proximal Junctional Kyphosis

BRENDAN F. JUDY, MD¹; JOVANNA A. TRACZ, BS²; SAFWAN ALOMARI, MD²; AND TIMOTHY F. WITHAM, MD²

Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA; Department of Neurosurgery, Johns Hopkins Hospital, Baltimore, MD, USA

ABSTRACT

Proximal junctional kyphosis (PJK) and proximal junctional failure (PJF) are well-recognized challenges of surgery for adult spinal deformity (ASD). Multiple risk factors have been identified for PJK/PJF, including osteoporosis, frailty, neurodegenerative disease, obesity, and smoking. Several surgical techniques to mitigate risk of PJK/PJF have been identified; however, patient optimization is also critical. This review summarizes the data behind these 5 risk factors (osteoporosis, frailty, neurodegenerative disease, obesity, and smoking) and details the related recommendations for patients undergoing surgery for ASD.

Focus Issue Article

Keywords: spine fusion, proximal junctional kyphosis, proximal junctional failure, spine deformity, pseudarthrosis

INTRODUCTION

Proximal junctional kyphosis (PJK) and proximal junctional failure (PJF) are well-recognized challenges of surgery for adult spinal deformity (ASD). PJK is broadly defined as radiographic evidence of kyphosis as the spine transitions from fused to mobile. A more specific and often-cited definition is an increase in the Cobb angle of >10° from the upper instrumented vertebrae (UIV) to 2 levels above the UIV (UIV +2). 1-4 PJF is the progression of PJK to the point of symptom onset, vertebral collapse, and/or instrumentation failure that requires revision surgery.⁵ The reported prevalence of PJK has ranged from 17 to 47.9%. ^{1,2,6–11} In a systematic review conducted by Kim et al, the following surgeryrelated risk factors for PJK were identified: combined anterior and posterior surgery, UIV at T1-T3, fusion extending to the sacrum, nonanatomic restoration of thoracic kyphosis, and thoracoplasty.

To prevent PJK, several surgical techniques have been developed, including ligament augmentation (using tethers), vertebroplasty, transverse process hooks, flexible rods, sublaminar tape, and multilevel stabilization screws. These techniques have varying reports of success and have not been universally adopted. A recent systematic review concluded that vertebroplasty (which augments the anterior column) in addition to tethers and transverse process hooks (which both enhance the posterior column) shows potential; however, all techniques need higher quality studies. The scope of this study is to address patient risk factors that may be optimized to prevent PJK. Specifically, this study addresses 5 key

areas: osteoporosis, frailty, neurodegenerative disease, obesity, and smoking (Table).

OSTEOPOROSIS

Low bone mineral density (BMD) is a significant risk factor for PJF after surgical correction of ASD.^{6,14} ¹⁸ A study of 113 patients surgically treated for spinal deformity with propensity matching for age, upper and lower instrumented vertebrae, history of spine surgery, and Schwab-Scoliosis Research Society ASD classification found that the incidence of PJF was significantly higher in the patient group with significantly low BMD (average T score <-1.5) when compared with patients with normal to mildly low BMD (average T score \geq -1.5) (33% vs 8%, P < 0.01, OR 6.4, 95% CI: 1.2-32.3). ¹⁴ Multiple best practice guidelines for the assessment and management of osteoporosis in adult patients undergoing spine reconstruction have been proposed, including those with a focus on preventing pseudarthrosis and PJK. 18-20

Regarding the age at which screening for osteoporosis prior to correction of spinal deformity should be initiated, a 2022 multidisciplinary panel of 18 experts, including orthopedic and neurological surgeons, endocrinologists, and rheumatologists, recommended screening of all patients older than 65 years, independent of risk factors, using BMD testing prior to surgery. In patients aged 50 to 64 years, BMD testing is recommended if 1 or more of 12 risk factors are present: chronic glucocorticoid use defined as more than 3 months of prednisone use, minimum 5 mg/d,

Table. Recommendation summary for patient optimization to prevent PJK.

Osteonorosis

- Medications including bisphosphonates (antiresorptive), teriparatide (anabolic), denosumab (antiresorptive)
- Calcium + vitamin D supplementation

Frailty

- Triage patients using scoring system
- Prehabilitation
- Increase protein intake

Neurodegenerative disease

- Involve movement disorder specialist
- Treat Parkinson disease–associated osteoporosis

Obesity

- Body mass index <30 ideal, ≤35 realistic
- Offer assistance with diet, exercise, and weight loss plans
- Involve dietitian

Smoking

- Smoking cessation; most important postoperatively
- Nicotine replacement (eg, gum, patches)

Abbreviation: PJK, proximal junctional kyphosis.

personal history of previous low-energy fracture of the hip or spine, personal history of metabolic bone disease, chronic kidney disease ≥stage 3, high fracture risk as calculated by the fracture risk assessment tool, prior failed spine surgery, alcohol use of 3 or more units per day, vitamin D deficiency, current smoking, limited mobility, wheelchair based, on cancer treatment, and >10 years of diabetes mellitus with poor glycemic control.¹⁹ In patients younger than 50 years, BMD testing is recommended if 1 or more of 5 risk factors are present: chronic glucocorticoid use, previous lowenergy fracture, metabolic bone disease, cancer treatment, or chronic kidney disease, as defined above.¹⁹ Screening recommendations proposed by Karikari et al were similar; however, a 5-year difference in age for asymptomatic patient screening was proposed in female vs male patients, recommending screening in women older than 65 years and men older than 70 years undergoing consideration for spinal fusion, citing practice parameters of the American College of Radiology. 18

After identifying patients for whom screening is advised, the Congress of Neurological Surgeons (CNS) task force affirmed a grade B recommendation that preoperative assessment with either dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry images, computed tomography images, or serum vitamin D3 levels is appropriate. A dualenergy x-ray absorptiometry image with T score <-2.5, a CT image with Hounsfield units <97.9, and serum vitamin D3 level <20 ng/mL are associated with poor BMD and predict an increased risk of a postoperative adverse event in individuals undergoing spinal instrumentation.²⁰ However, a recent study of 63 ASD patients who underwent surgery found that a higher mean value, 120 Hounsfield units of the UIV and UIV +1, may be a superior cutoff for significant risk of PJK.²¹

Strategies for medical management of osteoporosis in preparation for correction of ASD can be categorized into the use of (1) bisphosphonates, (2) teriparatide, (3) denosumab, and (4) calcium and vitamin D3. While bisphosphonates are a first-line treatment of osteoporosis, the CNS task force affirmed there is insufficient evidence to support the use of bisphosphonates alone in patients with osteoporosis undergoing spinal instrumentation to decrease postoperative adverse events after spinal instrumentation. ²⁰ A retrospective study by Kim et al of 44 patients undergoing posterior lumbar interbody fusion with osteoporosis-treated patients with either a bisphosphonate (alendronate) vs no bisphosphonate found that fusion rates were similar for the bisphosphonate group (66.7%) and the no bisphosphonate group (73.9%; P = 0.599).²² A retrospective study by Kang et al of 97 postmenopausal women with osteoporosis undergoing posterior lumbar interbody fusion compared patients who were treated with bisphosphonates with those who had received no treatment and found that bisphosphonates may negatively delay fusion in the short term for the first 6 months but not at 2 years postoperatively with comparable overall fusion rates.²³

Treatment with teriparatide, however, has been shown to increase BMD, induce earlier and more robust fusion, and may improve patient outcomes, including reducing the risk of PJF. 17,24 Yagi et al found that osteopenic patients, given immediate postoperative teriparatide after spinal deformity correction, had significantly lower rates of PJF when compared with control at 2-year follow-up (4.6% vs 15.2%; P = 0.02). The CNS task force affirmed a grade B recommendation that preoperative osteoporosis treatment with teriparatide should be considered in patients with osteoporosis undergoing spinal instrumentation to decrease the risk of postoperative adverse events.²⁰ Denosumab was not included as a recommended agent for preventing postoperative adverse effects per CNS task force, citing lack of sufficient evidence at this time.²⁰

Last, because oral calcium supplementation either alone or in combination with vitamin D has been shown to prevent bone loss and fragility fractures, supplementation is generally advised if deficiency is encountered.²⁵ Stoker et al found that among 313 patients undergoing spinal fusion, the rates of vitamin D inadequacy (<30 ng/mL) and deficiency were 57% and 27%, respectively.²⁶ Patients for whom a deficiency was identified were prescribed 50,000 IU of oral vitamin D, per week for 8 weeks—a regimen widely used. ^{27,28} This regimen may be completed prior to surgery, and vitamin D toxicity due to supplementation is rare. 27,28

FRAILTY

Frailty is defined as an aging-related syndrome of decline in physiological reserve and reduced resilience to stressors. ^{29,30} Higher frailty correlates with a higher risk of postoperative adverse events and increased health care utilization and costs in various types of surgeries. ^{31,32} These findings have been recently validated in the spine literature and are especially important to consider in patients undergoing surgery for spinal deformity given the invasiveness and complexity of the performed procedures. ^{32–36}

In 2022, Kitamura et al reported the results of their systematic review, evaluating the feasibility and quality of currently available frailty scales for patients undergoing spine surgery.³² Of the 88 studies included, the authors identified 23 frailty scales with variable predictive values based on the indication and type of the index surgery, patients' age, and the outcomes of interest.³² Because there was no ideal scale identified to be used in all the settings, the study recommended choosing an adequate scale based on the setting of interest (triage vs preoperative work-up).³² The authors recommended using a simple scale for primary triage and a comprehensive scale for preoperative assessment. Candidate scales that can be used for primary triage include the modified 5-item frailty index (mFI-5); the FRAIL scale (fatigue, resistance, ambulation, illness, and loss of weight) scale (2 domains, 5 items); Fried's frailty phenotype (1 domain, 5 items); and the Clinical Frailty Scale (9 grades, from very fit to terminally ill). While the study found mFI-5 to be the most frequently reported among the 4 simple scales in the spine population, its validity in the elderly population remains unknown.³² The authors recommended using the fatigue, resistance, ambulation, illness, and loss of weight scale for primary triage because its predictive value for morbidity and mortality has been further proven in prior studies.³² Candidate scales that can be used for preoperative assessment include the mFI-11 (10 items for comorbidities and 1 item for physical function), ASD-Frailty Index (ASD-FI) (multidisciplinary with 40 items but its feasibility in clinical practice is questionable due to its length), and cervical deformity FI (4 domains, 40 items). The more simple forms, modfied ASD-FI (2 domains, 8 items), clinicial ASD-FI (2 domains 8 items), and modified cervical deformity frailty index (3 domains, 15 items), were developed to reach feasibility for use in clinical practice.³² Moreover, the authors strongly recommended using the Risk Analysis Index, another multidisciplinary scale with 14 items, because it is the only scale in this study that has been used in an interventional prospective study and it has been implemented for preoperative assessment in other surgical domains.³²

Sarcopenia, defined as decreased skeletal muscle mass leading to decreased muscle function, has also gained interest as a possible preoperative variable that can be used to predict surgical outcomes. However, there is conflicting evidence in the spine surgery literature about the role of sarcopenia in predicting postoperative outcomes, with some reports demonstrating that sarcopenia is associated with poor outcomes^{37,38} and others demonstrating no association. 34,39,40 Akbik et al³⁴ performed a comparative analysis between sarcopenia (as measured by Psoas Muscle Index) and frailty (as measured by mF-11 and MF-5) in predicting outcomes in 235 patients undergoing ASD surgery. The authors found that frailty indices correlated with perioperative transfusion, longer hospital stay, and mortality, whereas sarcopenia was not associated with any of these outcome measures.³⁴ On the other hand, a study by Eleswarapu and colleagues that included 32 adult patients undergoing spinal deformity surgery with PJK and PJF occurring in 20 (62.5%) and 12 (37.5%) patients, respectively, identified psoas cross-sectional area to be an independent predictor of PJK (P = 0.02) and PJF (P = 0.009). ³⁸ Additionally, the authors found that setting-specific psoas cross-sectional area thresholds of <12 cm² in men and <8 cm² in women resulted in a PJF rate of 69.2% for patients below these thresholds, relative to 15.8% for those above the thresholds.³⁸ In concordance, Babu et al⁴¹ reported their experience with 73 patients and found that sarcopenia (measured by psoaslumbar vertebral index) is a risk factor for PJK and other 2-year complications following pedicle subtraction osteotomy. It is worth mentioning that variable definitions of sarcopenia and heterogenous populations included in previous studies necessitate further studies to validate these findings.

Identification of at-risk patients through frailty scores allows for patient optimization. ⁴² This can be via prehabilitation that can include respiratory muscular training to increase expiratory and inspiratory pressure, thereby lowering rates of pneumonia, exercise to increase cardiovascular reserve, as well as balance and strength training with a focus on strengthening the muscles that will be utilized the most during the recovery period. Optimization of nutritional status in frail patients can also be beneficial as surgical stress induces a catabolic state, which leads to protein breakdown

primarily from muscle.⁴² Hence, adequate protein intake is necessary to prevent muscle loss or sarcopenia.⁴² Of note, spine disease by itself is considered a contributing factor for developing frailty since there is an overlap between the clinical features of spine disease (reduced physical activity, slow walking speed, and poor endurance) and the clinical features of frailty (reduced gait speed, decreased muscle strength, and poor energy expenditure).⁴³ Therefore, timely spine surgery can improve frailty and reduce long-term morbidity and mortality.

NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASE

Parkinson disease (PD) is the second most common neurodegenerative disease after Alzheimer disease and has the most well-recognized association with spinal deformity among neurodegenerative diseases.44 PD is a movement disorder characterized by motor symptoms (eg, resting tremor, bradykinesia, rigidity, and postural and gait impairment) with 6.1 million people affected worldwide in 2016 and a rapid rise in prevalence over the past 2 decades for unknown reasons. 45 Patients with PD also have nonmotor symptoms (eg, cognitive decline, depression, pain, and cardiovascular dysfunction) and a notably higher rate of osteoporosis than non-PD patients. 46 The spinal deformity in PD (eg, kyphoscoliosis, Pisa syndrome, antecollis, camptocormia) is likely due to a combination of the previously listed motor and nonmotor symptoms in addition to osteoporosis.⁴⁷ Interestingly, the degree of spinal deformity in PD has been positively correlated with the severity of PD.⁴⁸

Surgery for ASD in PD patients carries increased risk with an increased rate of inpatient complications in comparison with patients without PD.49 A recent review of the literature from 2000 to 2013 in PD patients undergoing spinal fusion calculated a revision rate of 45% and a complication rate of 59%. 50 Despite the increased risk of complications, ^{49,51} successful outcomes after spine surgery are often achieved,⁵² with 1 study reporting up to 78% of patients reporting favorable outcomes.⁵³ However, in their study of 23 patients with PD who underwent surgery for ASD, Koller et al⁵³ reported a 17.6% rate of PJK. In a retrospective review of 29 patients with PD who underwent revision for PJK at a single institution, 76% (22/29) were found to have neurologic comorbidities, although only one of these patients had PD.⁵⁴ In a retrospective review of 12 patients with PD who underwent T2-pelvis fixation and a mean follow-up of 33 months, 2 patients (16.7%) required revision for PJK.55 In a cohort study of 13 PD patients undergoing ASD for degenerative sagittal imbalance matched to 26 non-PD patients, 8 PD patients (61%) underwent a revision for PJK in comparison with only 1 (3.8%) non-PD patient.⁵⁶ Analysis of risk factors for PJF demonstrated that decreased length of fusion and increased fatty changes in the paraspinal musculature were correlated with increased rate of PJF.⁵⁶

To optimize surgical outcomes and prevent PJK in PD patients, several strategies have been discussed. Screening and treatment of osteoporosis in these patients are essential. 46 In their review, Ha et al 47 postulated that the majority of poor outcomes are likely due to poor patient selection and persistent coronal and sagittal imbalance. Involving a movement disorder specialist from the beginning is recommended for assistance with patient selection and to avoid perioperative complications involving medications.⁵⁷ It has been postulated that deep brain stimulation prior to spine surgery or even in replacement of spine surgery may benefit patient outcome due to the complexity of deformity surgery for PD patients. 58,59 In a 2015 systematic review, deep brain stimulation appeared to independently improve PD-related deformity without surgery. 59 Patients with higher preoperative function and high responsiveness to levodopa may be more likely to have successful outcomes.⁴⁷ Finally, analysis of preoperative spine measurements is paramount to formulate a surgical plan with sufficient length of fusion and osteotomies in order to improve spinal alignment and prevent PJK.

OBESITY

A high body mass index (BMI) has a significant adverse effect on the pain level and function of patients with ASD.⁶⁰ A study of 1004 ASD patients found an inverse relationship between BMI and functional scores, including the Core Outcome Measures Index back score and the Numerical Rating Scale back and leg scores, and a direct relationship between BMI and pain, even when accounting for patient age, gender, occupational status, smoking status, and the radiographic parameters known to relate to functional outcomes.⁶⁰ Furthermore, obesity negatively affects cost efficiency and outcomes following ASD surgery.⁶¹ Patients with BMIs in the class I, class II, or class III range had more expensive total ASD surgery costs, with the 1-year cost being approximately 32% higher for obese patients than nonobese patients per quality-adjusted life year.⁶¹ A recent meta-analysis of predictors of PJK did not identify obesity to be significantly associated with PJK;⁶² however, at least 2 studies have identified obesity as a risk factor for the development of PJK and PJF in patients with adult lumbar scoliosis following long instrumented posterior spinal fusion. 63,64 Therefore, it is prudent to consider optimization of obesity prior to ASD surgery. Jain et al reported decreased length of stay, medical complications, and surgical site infections in patients who underwent preoperative bariatric surgery prior to undergoing elective posterior lumbar fusion in comparison with a group of patients who did not have bariatric surgery.⁶⁵ In regard to specific BMI goals, there is likely a range that should be targeted, and it is both extremes of BMI that must be avoided. A recent study on obesity by Than et al in 106 patients undergoing minimally invasive deformity surgery⁶⁶ demonstrated worse postoperative quality-of-life outcomes and spinopelvic parameters for patients with BMI ≥30 mg/kg² in comparison with those patients with BMI ≤30 mg/kg². Their conclusion was that a BMI target of ≤30 mg/kg² for spine surgery is ideal; however, ≤35 mg/kg² is more realistic for elective clinical practice. Diet, nutrition, counseling, and exercise programs should be offered to patients in order to reach these BMI goals.

SMOKING

While the negative impact of smoking on overall health is universally agreed on, reports on its impact on the outcomes of spinal deformity surgery have not produced definitive conclusions. Two large retrospective analyses did not find significant differences in length of hospital stay, 30-day complications, or readmission rates between smokers and nonsmokers undergoing ASD surgery. 67,68 A prospectively collected, multicenter study by the International Spine Study Group on 346 patients reported that a history of smoking had no effect on early (<6 weeks) or late (up to 2 years) complication rates, but the study did not stratify the effect of smoking on specific complications.⁶⁹ In addition, a more recent meta-analysis included 14 studies and 1908 patients to determine risk factors for PJK in ASD surgery and did not identify smoking as one of the independent risk factors. 62 However, spine surgeons generally avoid performing ASD surgery on smokers, and therefore "selection bias" and insufficient active smokers enrolled may explain the negative findings of these studies. On the other hand, a more recent post hoc analysis on 272 patients from the Scoli-RISK-1 study (a prospective, multicenter international observational study of ASD surgery with neurological function as the primary outcome) accounted for the limitations of prior studies and found that a history of smoking significantly increased the risk of excessive intraoperative bleeding and nonsignificantly increased the rate of implant failure or surgery-related adverse events over 2 years. ⁷⁰ In parallel, preclinical studies have demonstrated that smoking causes increased vertebral and endplate porosity and decreased trabecular thickness^{71,72} and interferes with early and late processes in spinal fusion and bone healing. 73,74

Current literature supports smoking cessation as a potentially effective tool in mitigating adverse events and improving the surgical outcomes following spine surgery. While complete smoking cessation in both

pre- and postoperative periods is ideally recommended for compliant patients, postoperative smoking cessation may have the greatest benefit in terms of improving fusion rates and decreasing perioperative complication rates, especially the first 4 weeks following surgery. 74 Moreover, it has been hypothesized that nicotine replacement therapy (nicotine patches and nicotine gum) may reduce the detrimental effects of smoking. The theory behind this hypothesis is supported by studies that have demonstrated that many of the components of smoking cigarettes are significantly more harmful than the nicotine component.⁷⁴ In addition, since one of the mechanisms behind smoking's interference with spinal fusion and bone healing is through its effect on proosteoblastic mediators such as cytokines, growth factors, and bone morphogenetic proteins, it is recommended to use osteoinductive proteins such as bone morphogenetic proteins in patients who smoke undergoing spine surgery to reduce the risk of pseudarthrosis.⁷⁴

CONCLUSION

Diagnosis of ASD has become increasingly common, and surgery for ASD has become more prevalent over time. The parallel, surgery has become increasingly successful in medically complex and elderly ASD patients, with complication rates reduced by 50% over the past decade. As the population continues to live longer, it is imperative for spine surgeons to optimize patient-related factors prior to surgery. Patient-related risk factors that should be modified to avoid development of PJK include osteoporosis, frailty, neurodegenerative disease, obesity, and smoking. Several strategies are discussed to mitigate the negative effects of these factors.

REFERENCES

- 1. Kim HJ, Lenke LG, Shaffrey CI, Van Alstyne EM, Skelly AC. Proximal junctional kyphosis as a distinct form of adjacent segment pathology after spinal deformity surgery: a systematic review. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2012;37(22 Suppl):S144–S164. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e31826d611b
- 2. Glattes RC, Bridwell KH, Lenke LG, Kim YJ, Rinella A, Edwards C. Proximal junctional kyphosis in adult spinal deformity following long Instrumented posterior spinal fusion: incidence, outcomes, and risk factor analysis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2005;30(14):1643–1649. doi:10.1097/01.brs.0000169451.76359.49
- 3. Safaee MM, Haddad AF, Fury M, et al. Reduced proximal junctional failure with ligament augmentation in adult spinal deformity: a series of 242 cases with a minimum 1-year follow-up. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2021;35(6):752–760. doi:10.3171/2021.2.SP INE201987
- 4. Helgeson MD, Shah SA, Newton PO, et al. Evaluation of proximal junctional kyphosis in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis following pedicle screw, hook, or hybrid instrumentation. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2010;35(2):177–181. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181c77f8c

- 5. Hart RA, McCarthy I, Ames CP, Shaffrey CI, Hamilton DK, Hostin R. Proximal junctional kyphosis and proximal junctional failure. Neurosurg Clin N Am. 2013;24(2):213-218. doi:10.1016/j. nec.2013.01.001
- 6. Kim YJ, Bridwell KH, Lenke LG, Glattes CR, Rhim S, Cheh G. Proximal junctional kyphosis in adult spinal deformity after segmental posterior spinal instrumentation and fusion: minimum fiveyear follow-up. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2008;33(20):2179-2184. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e31817c0428
- 7. Yagi M, Akilah KB, Boachie-Adjei O. Incidence, risk factors and classification of proximal junctional Kyphosis: surgical outcomes review of adult idiopathic Scoliosis. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2011;36(1):E60-E68. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181eeaee2
- 8. Yagi M, King AB, Boachie-Adjei O. Incidence, risk factors, and natural course of proximal junctional kyphosis: surgical outcomes review of adult idiopathic scoliosis. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2012;37(17):1479-1489. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e31824e4888
- 9. Kim HJ, Yagi M, Nyugen J, Cunningham ME, Boachie-Adjei O. Combined anterior-posterior surgery is the most important risk factor for developing proximal junctional kyphosis in idiopathic scoliosis. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2012;470(6):1633-1639. doi:10.1007/s11999-011-2179-1
- 10. Mendoza-Lattes S, Ries Z, Gao Y, Weinstein SL. Proximal junctional kyphosis in adult reconstructive spine surgery results from incomplete restoration of the lumbar lordosis relative to the magnitude of the thoracic kyphosis. *Iowa Orthop J.* 2011;31:199–206.
- 11. Alshabab BS, Lafage R, Smith JS, et al. Evolution of proximal junctional kyphosis and proximal junctional failure rates over 10 years of enrollment in a prospective multicenter adult spinal deformity database. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2022;47(13):922-930. doi:10.1097/BRS.0000000000004364
- 12. Vercoulen TFG, Doodkorte RJP, Roth A, de Bie R, Willems PC. Instrumentation techniques to prevent proximal junctional kyphosis and proximal junctional failure in adult spinal deformity correction: a systematic review of clinical studies. Global Spine J. 2022;12(6):1282-1296. doi:10.1177/21925682211034500
- 13. Steplewski Z, Jeglum KA, Rosales C, Weintraub N. Canine lymphoma-associated antigens defined by murine monoclonal antibodies. Cancer Immunol Immunother. 1987;24(3):197-201. doi:10.1007/BF00205629
- 14. Yagi M, Fujita N, Tsuji O, et al. Low bone-mineral density is a significant risk for proximal junctional failure after surgical correction of adult spinal deformity: a propensity score-matched analysis. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2018;43(7):485–491. doi:10.1097/ BRS.0000000000002355
- 15. O'Leary PT, Bridwell KH, Lenke LG, et al. Risk factors and outcomes for catastrophic failures at the top of long pedicle screw constructs: a matched cohort analysis performed at a single center. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2009;34(20):2134-2139. doi:10.1097/ BRS.0b013e3181b2e17e
- 16. Hart RA, Prendergast MA, Roberts WG, Nesbit GM, Barnwell SL. Proximal junctional acute collapse cranial to multi-level lumbar fusion: a cost analysis of prophylactic vertebral augmentation. Spine J. 2008;8(6):875-881. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2008.01.015
- 17. Echt M, Ranson W, Steinberger J, Yassari R, Cho SK. A systematic review of treatment strategies for the prevention of junctional complications after long-segment Fusions in the osteoporotic spine. Global Spine J. 2021;11(5):792-801. doi:10.1177/2192568220939902
- 18. Karikari IO, Metz LN. Preventing Pseudoarthrosis and proximal junctional Kyphosis: how to deal with the Osteoporotic

- spine. Neurosurg Clin N Am. 2018;29(3):365–374. doi:10.1016/j. nec.2018.03.005
- 19. Sardar ZM, Coury JR, Cerpa M, et al. Best practice guidelines for assessment and management of osteoporosis in adult patients undergoing elective spinal reconstruction. Spine. 2022;47(2):128-135. doi:10.1097/BRS.0000000000004268
- 20. Dimar J, Bisson EF, Dhall S, et al. Congress of neurological surgeons systematic review and evidence-based guidelines for perioperative spine: preoperative osteoporosis assessment. Neurosurgery. 2021;89(Suppl 1):S19-S25. doi:10.1093/neuros/nyab317
- 21. Yao Y-C, Elysee J, Lafage R, et al. Preoperative hounsfield units at the planned upper instrumented vertebrae may predict proximal junctional kyphosis in adult spinal deformity. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2021;46(3):E174-E180. doi:10.1097/ BRS.000000000003798
- 22. Kim S-M, Rhee W, Ha S, Lim JH, Jang IT. Influence of aendronate and endplate degeneration to single level posterior lumbar spinal Interbody fusion. Korean J Spine. 2014;11(4):221-226. doi:10.14245/kjs.2014.11.4.221
- 23. Kang T, Park SY, Hong SH, Lee JH, Lee SH, Park JH. Bone union after spinal fusion surgery using local bone in long-term bisphosphonate users: a prospective comparative study. Arch Osteoporos. 2019;14(1):74. doi:10.1007/s11657-019-0628-8
- 24. Yagi M, Ohne H, Konomi T, et al. Teriparatide improves volumetric bone mineral density and fine bone structure in the UIV+1 vertebra, and reduces bone failure type PJK after surgery for adult spinal deformity. Osteoporos Int. 2016;27(12):3495-3502. doi:10.1007/s00198-016-3676-6
- 25. Gehrig L, Lane J, O'Connor MI. Osteoporosis: management and treatment strategies for orthopaedic surgeons. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2008;90(6):1362-1374.
- 26. Stoker GE, Buchowski JM, Bridwell KH, Lenke LG, Riew KD, Zebala LP. Preoperative vitamin D status of adults undergoing surgical spinal fusion. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2013;38(6):507-515. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e3182739ad1
- 27. Holick MF. Vitamin D deficiency. N Engl J Med. 2007;357(3):266-281. doi:10.1056/NEJMra070553
- 28. Rosen CJ. Clinical practice. Vitamin D insufficiency. N Engl J Med. 2011;364(3):248-254. doi:10.1056/NEJMcp1009570
- 29. Blodgett JM, Rockwood K, Theou O. Changes in the severity and lethality of age-related health deficit accumulation in the USA between 1999 and 2018: a population-based cohort study. Lancet Healthy Longev. 2021;2(2):e96-e104. doi:10.1016/S2666-7568(20)30059-3
- 30. Howlett SE, Rutenberg AD, Rockwood K. The degree of frailty as a translational measure of health in aging. Nat Aging. 2021;1(8):651-665. doi:10.1038/s43587-021-00099-3
- 31. Hoogendijk EO, Afilalo J, Ensrud KE, Kowal P, Onder G, Fried LP. Frailty: implications for clinical practice and public health. Lancet. 2019;394(10206):1365-1375. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31786-6
- 32. Kitamura K, van Hooff M, Jacobs W, Watanabe K, de Kleuver M. Which frailty scales for patients with adult spinal deformity are feasible and adequate? A systematic review. Spine J. 2022;22(7):1191-1204. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2022.01.017
- 33. Moskven E, Charest-Morin R, Flexman AM, Street JT. The measurements of frailty and their possible application to spinal conditions: a systematic review. Spine J. 2022;22(9):1451–1471. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2022.03.014
- 34. Akbik OS, Al-Adli N, Pernik MN, et al. A comparative analysis of frailty, disability, and sarcopenia with patient characteristics

- and outcomes in a dult spinal deformity surgery. Global Spine J. 2022;21925682221082052. doi: 10.1177/21925682221082053
- 35. Leven DM, Lee NJ, Kothari P, et al. Frailty index is a significant predictor of complications and mortality after surgery for adult spinal deformity. *Spine*. 2016;41(23):E1394–E1401. doi:10.1097/BRS.000000000001886
- 36. Alas H, Passias PG, Brown AE, et al. Predictors of serious, preventable, and costly medical complications in a population of adult spinal deformity patients. *Spine J.* 2021;21(9):1559–1566: . doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2021.04.020
- 37. Zakaria HM, Schultz L, Mossa-Basha F, Griffith B, Chang V. Morphometrics as a predictor of perioperative morbidity after lumbar spine surgery. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2015;39(4):E5. doi:10.3171/2015.7.FOCUS15257
- 38. Eleswarapu A, O'Connor D, Rowan FA, et al. Sarcopenia is an independent risk factor for proximal junctional disease following adult spinal deformity surgery. *Global Spine J.* 2022;12(1):102–109. doi:10.1177/2192568220947050
- 39. Charest-Morin R, Street J, Zhang H, et al. Frailty and sarcopenia do not predict adverse events in an elderly population undergoing non-complex primary elective surgery for degenerative conditions of the lumbar spine. *Spine J*. 2018;18(2):245–254. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2017.07.003
- 40. McKenzie JC, Wagner SC, Sebastian A, et al. Sarcopenia does not affect clinical outcomes following lumbar fusion. *J Clin Neurosci*. 2019;64:150–154. doi:10.1016/j.jocn.2019.03.015
- 41. Babu J, Wang K, Jami M, et al. 265. Sarcopenia as a risk factor for complications following pedicle subtraction osteotomy. *The Spine Journal*. 2021;21(9):S136. doi:10.1016/j. spinee.2021.05.378
- 42. Agarwal N, Goldschmidt E, Taylor T, et al. Impact of frailty on outcomes following spine surgery: A prospective cohort analysis of 668 patients. *Neurosurg*. 2021;88(3):552–557. doi:10.1093/neuros/nyaa468
- 43. Kim H-J, Park S, Park S-H, et al. The prevalence and impact of frailty in patients with symptomatic lumbar spinal stenosis. *Eur Spine J*. 2019;28(1):46–54. doi:10.1007/s00586-018-5710-1
- 44. Ruttiman R, Eltorai AEM, Daniels AH. Etiology and management of spinal deformity in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Int J Spine Surg.* 2018;12(1):15–21. doi:10.14444/5003
- 45. Bloem BR, Okun MS, Klein C. Parkinson's disease. *Lancet*. 2021;397(10291):2284–2303. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00218-X
- 46. Liu B, Chen G, Yu Z, et al. Bone mineral density and related scores in Parkinson's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *World Neurosurg*. 2021;146:e1202–e1218. doi:10.1016/j. wneu.2020.11.132
- 47. Ha Y, Oh JK, Smith JS, et al. Impact of movement disorders on management of spinal deformity in the elderly. *Neurosurgery*. 2015;77 Suppl 4:S173–S185. doi:10.1227/NEU.00000000000000940
- 48. Oh JK, Smith JS, Shaffrey CI, et al. Sagittal spinopelvic malalignment in parkinson disease: prevalence and associations with disease severity. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2014;39(14):E833–E841. doi:10.1097/BRS.0000000000000366
- 49. De la Garza Ramos R, Goodwin CR, Jain A, Martinez-Ramirez D, Karikari IO, Sciubba DM. Inpatient morbidity after spinal deformity surgery in patients with movement disorders. *J Spine Surg.* 2017;3(4):601–608. doi:10.21037/jss.2017.11.09
- 50. Sarkiss CA, Fogg GA, Skovrlj B, Cho SK, Caridi JM. To operate or not?: A literature review of surgical outcomes in 95 patients with Parkinson's disease undergoing spine surgery.

- Clin Neurol Neurosurg. 2015;134:122–125. doi:10.1016/j. clineuro.2015.04.022
- 51. Moon S-H, Lee H-M, Chun H-J, et al. Surgical outcome of lumbar fusion surgery in patients with parkinson disease. *J Spinal Disord Tech.* 2012;25(7):351–355. doi:10.1097/BSD.0b013e318224a625
- 52. Schroeder JE, Hughes A, Sama A, et al. Lumbar spine surgery in patients with parkinson disease. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2015;97(20):1661–1666. doi:10.2106/JBJS.N.01049
- 53. Koller H, Acosta F, Zenner J, et al. Spinal surgery in patients with Parkinson's disease: experiences with the challenges posed by sagittal imbalance and the Parkinson's spine. *Eur Spine J*. 2010;19(10):1785–1794. doi:10.1007/s00586-010-1405-y
- 54. Glassman SD, Coseo MP, Carreon LY. Sagittal balance is more than just alignment: why PJK remains an unresolved problem. *Scoliosis Spinal Disord*. 2016;11(1):1. doi:10.1186/s13013-016-0064-0
- 55. Bourghli A, Guérin P, Vital J-M, et al. Posterior spinal fusion from T2 to the Sacrum for the management of major deformities in patients with Parkinson disease: a retrospective review with analysis of complications. *J Spinal Disord Tech.* 2012;25(3):E53–E60. doi:10.1097/BSD.0b013e3182496670
- 56. Park H-Y, Ha K-Y, Kim Y-H, et al. Spinal surgery for Parkinson disease with Camptocormia: propensity score-matched cohort study with degenerative sagittal imbalance (DSI). *Clin Spine Surg.* 2020;33(10):E563–E571. doi:10.1097/BSD.00000000000000994
- 57. Upadhyaya CD, Starr PA, Mummaneni PV. Spinal deformity and Parkinson disease: a treatment algorithm. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2010;28(3):E5. doi:10.3171/2010.1.FOCUS09288
- 58. Guerrero JR, Bhenderu LS, Taghlabi KM, Cruz-Garza JG, Saifi C, Faraji AH. Improvement in sagittal alignment and mechanical low-back pain following deep brain stimulation for Parkinson's disease: illustrative case. *J Neurosurg Case Lessons*. 2022;4(17). doi:10.3171/CASE22357
- 59. Chieng LO, Madhavan K, Wang MY. Deep brain stimulation as a treatment for Parkinson's disease related Camptocormia. *J Clin Neurosci*. 2015;22(10):1555–1561. doi:10.1016/j.jocn.2015.05.018
- 60. Kieser DC, Wyatt MC, Boissiere L, et al. The effect of increasing body mass index on the pain and function of patients with adult spinal deformity. *J Spine Surg.* 2019;5(4):535–540. doi:10.21037/jss.2019.11.12
- 61. Brown AE, Alas H, Pierce KE, et al. Obesity negatively affects cost efficiency and outcomes following adult spinal deformity surgery. *Spine J.* 2020;20(4):512–518. doi:10.1016/j. spinee.2019.12.012
- 62. Kim JS, Phan K, Cheung ZB, et al. Surgical, radiographic, and patient-related risk factors for proximal junctional kyphosis: a meta-analysis. *Global Spine J.* 2019;9(1):32–40. doi:10.1177/2192568218761362
- 63. Wang H, Ma L, Yang D, et al. Incidence and risk factors for the progression of proximal junctional kyphosis in degenerative lumbar Scoliosis following long Instrumented posterior spinal fusion. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2016;95(32):e4443. doi:10.1097/MD.0000000000004443
- 64. Lazaro BC, Sardi JP, Smith JS, et al. Proximal junctional failure in primary thoracolumbar fusion/fixation to the sacrum/pelvis for adult symptomatic lumbar scoliosis: long-term follow-up of a prospective multicenter cohort of 160 patients. *The Spine Journal*. 2022;22(9):S78. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2022.06.165

- 65. Jain D, Berven SH, Carter J, Zhang AL, Deviren V. Bariatric surgery before elective posterior lumbar fusion is associated with reduced medical complications and infection. Spine J. 2018;18(9):1526–1532: . doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2018.01.023
- 66. Than KD, Mehta VA, Le V, et al. Role of obesity in less radiographic correction and worse health-related quality-of-life outcomes following minimally invasive deformity surgery. J Neurosurg Spine. 2022:1-10. doi:10.3171/2021.12.SPINE21703
- 67. Elsamadicy AA, Adogwa O, Sergesketter A, et al. Reduced impact of smoking status on 30-day complication and readmission rates after elective spinal fusion (≥3 levels) for adult spine deformity: a single institutional study of 839 patients. World Neurosurg. 2017;107:233-238. doi:10.1016/j.wneu.2017.07.174
- 68. De la Garza Ramos R, Goodwin CR, Qadi M, et al. Impact of smoking on 30-day morbidity and mortality in adult spinal deformity surgery. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2017;42(7):465-470. doi:10.1097/BRS.0000000000001795
- 69. Smith JS, Klineberg E, Lafage V, et al. Prospective multicenter assessment of perioperative and minimum 2-year postoperative complication rates associated with adult spinal deformity surgery. J Neurosurg Spine. 2016;25(1):1–14. doi:10.3171/2015.11. SPINE151036
- 70. Wilson JRF, Jiang F, Badhiwala JH, et al. The effect of tobacco smoking on adverse events following adult complex deformity surgery: analysis of 270 patients from the prospective, multicenter Scoli-RISK-1 study. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2020;45(1):32-37. doi:10.1097/BRS.0000000000003200
- 71. Wang D, Nasto LA, Roughley P, et al. Spine degeneration in a murine model of chronic human tobacco smokers. Osteoarthritis and Cartilage. 2012;20(8):896–905. doi:10.1016/j. joca.2012.04.010
- 72. Jackson KL II, Devine JG. The effects of smoking and smoking cessation on spine surgery: a systematic review of the literature. Global Spine Journal. 2016;6(7):695-701. doi:10.1055/s-0036-1571285

- 73. Daftari TK, Whitesides TE Jr, Heller JG, Goodrich AC, McCarey BE, Hutton WC. Nicotine on the revascularization of bone graft. An experimental study in rabbits. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 1994;19(8):904–911. doi:10.1097/00007632-199404150-00007
- 74. Berman D, Oren JH, Bendo J, Spivak J. The effect of smoking on spinal fusion. Int J Spine Surg. 2017;11(4):29. doi:10.14444/4029
- 75. Pellisé F, Serra-Burriel M, Vila-Casademunt A, et al. Quality Metrics in adult spinal deformity surgery over the last decade: a combined analysis of the largest prospective multicenter data SETS. J Neurosurg Spine. 2021:1-9. doi:10.3171/2021.3.SP INE202140

Funding: The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests: Dr. Witham is a consultant for, investor in, and medical advisory board member for Augmedics. All other authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

Corresponding Author: Brendan F. Judy, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, 1800 Orleans St, 6007 Zayed Tower, Baltimore, MD 21287, USA; bjudy1@jhmi.edu

This manuscript is generously published free of charge by ISASS, the International Society for the Advancement of Spine Surgery. Copyright © 2023 ISASS. To see more or order reprints or permissions, see http://ijssurgery.com.