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Posterior Dynamic Stabilization as a Salvage Procedure for Lumbar Facet Degeneration Following Total Disc Arthroplasty

CASE REPORT

Paul Khoueir, MD, and Michael Y. Wang, MD

ABSTRACT

Following an L5–S1 SB Charité disc III implantation, a 37-year-old female patient developed intractable radicular pain in the left L5 distribution. The patient underwent a minimally invasive foraminotomy, and her symptoms improved significantly. However, following recurrence of radicular pain, she showed signs of an L5–S1 facet degeneration and recurrent nerve root compression from hypertrophied synovium. A partial facetectomy was then performed to completely decompress the L5 root with supplemental posterior dynamic stabilization using a pedicle-based flexible titanium rod system. To date, the patient remains free of symptoms. Although posterolateral fusion would have been a viable option, the application of a posterior dynamic system permitted segmental motion preservation.


INTRODUCTION

The goal of total disc replacement is to restore or maintain intervertebral spinal motion, thereby decreasing the incidence of adjacent segment degeneration and avoiding complications related to fusion.1–9 More than 15,000 implantations have been performed worldwide following introduction of the third-generation SB Charité total artificial disc (DePuy Spine, Raynham, Massachusetts).10 Based on cases reported in the European literature, more than 70% of these patients showed improvement in pain and function.11–14 In the United States, a prospective randomized multicenter clinical trial demonstrated that quantitative clinical outcome measures following lumbar total disc replacement with the Charité artificial disc were at least equivalent to anterior lumbar interbody fusion.15–18 The clinical success of the Charité artificial disc has also been associated with low reoperation rates.10 However, when failures do occur, surgical strategies that maintain intervertebral spinal motion make it possible to avoid complications related to arthrodesis.

CASE REPORT

History

A 37-year-old Caucasian female patient presented with a three-year history of debilitating low back pain that had been unresponsive to conservative measures. The patient’s surgical history included an L5–S1 microdiscectomy for a disc herniation with L5 radicular pain and numbness, which were almost completely resolved at the time of her presentation. Her lumbar MRI and bone scan demonstrated severe degeneration of the L5–S1 disc with type 1 Modic changes.

The patient’s preoperative imaging also did not reveal important facet disease, except for some asymptomatic postoperative changes.
Postoperatively, the patient had excellent relief of her left lower extremity symptoms and was discharged home one day after the surgery. However, several weeks later, the pain began to recur. Because the patient’s initial improvement indicated that her symptoms were reversible, and because nerve root compression was identified intraoperatively, the patient elected for reexploration with partial facetectomy and posterior dynamic stabilization.

**Operation**

Via the posterior midline approach, the L5–S1 region was reexposed. Under microscopic imaging, the previous foraminotomy was isolated, and the nerve root was identified. Subsequently all residual compression was removed from scar tissue and synovial fragments, and the partial facetectomy was completed with a high-speed burr. Under lateral and anteroposterior fluoroscopic visualization, the 45 mm long x 7 mm wide Protex screws (Globus Medical Inc., Phoenixville, Pennsylvania) were inserted in the pedicles of L5 and S1 bilaterally. An AccuFlex dynamic titanium rod (Globus Medical Inc., Phoenixville, Pennsylvania) was used to connect the L5–S1 screws bilaterally, and the screws were tightened with an end cap. Before closure, the nerve root was confirmed to be free of residual compression. Blood loss was minimal, and the patient tolerated the procedure well, with no significant changes noted on electromyography throughout the surgery.

**Postoperative Course**

Immediately after surgery, the patient had complete relief of her lower extremity symptoms. She is now three months in her postoperative course and is still free from her radicular pain. Follow-up radiographs of her lumbar spine show a 6° range of motion preservation in flexion and extension at three months (Figure 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Recognizing the lumbar motion segment unit as a three-joint complex, consisting of an intervertebral disc and two facet joints, was one of the key observations that helped to reduce complications associated with total disc arthroplasty. van Ooij et al.\(^\text{19}\) reported on 27 patients who failed Charité disc replacement. Among the 27 patients in this group who complained of persistent pain, in 11 (41%) of them the pain was thought to be due to facet degeneration. Thus, disc replacement failure due to facet degeneration was a non-negligible cause of pain. However, no preoperative imaging was available to determine if degeneration was evident prior to arthroplasty. Failure in recognizing possible facet disease on preoperative testing might have led to postoperative L5 left radicular pain secondary to compression of the L5–S1 foramen.

Salvage procedures reported in the literature have included posterior facetectomy with posterolateral or 360° instrumented fusion.\(^\text{20–22}\) The most commonly reported salvage technique is posterolateral lumbar fusion, which has been performed in 1.1%
to 17.4% of patients receiving the SB Charité III and 1.9% to 7.8% for patients receiving the Prodisc II device. As with any other secondary spinal fusion procedure, the clinical outcomes of fusion following arthroplasty are less than optimal.19,20

However, very few authors have discussed the option of posterior dynamic stabilization (PDS) in SB Charité III artificial disc cases as a posterior salvaging procedure. Recent reports have described the potential role of PDS technology, with applications including revision surgery for disc arthroplasty failure due to under-recognized preexisting facet arthropathy, joint disease developing secondary to stresses created by the arthroplasty device itself, or segmental instability secondary to suboptimal placement of the prosthesis.23,24 In this case report, the rationale was not to correct the failure of the Charité device but rather to resolve the radicular symptoms secondary to possible facet disease.

This technology may prove to be effective in other instances besides maintaining motion following a posterior disc arthroplasty salvaging procedure. Another potential use of this approach is to augment total disc arthroplasty for complete functional spine unit reconstruction in patients having both disc and facet disease. Because facet disease remains a contraindication to disc arthroplasty, combining both technologies in a 360° circumferential motion segment reconstruction would allow a broader application of these motion-preserving devices. Huang et al.25 using strict criteria, found that only 5% of the patients considered for surgical intervention in their practice would be candidates for lumbar arthroplasty. Preexisting facet disease may have led to artificial disc replacement failure in a significant number of patients.

One of the major drawbacks of this case report is lack of long-term follow-up. The consequences of persistent motion controlled by a pedicle screw–based titanium spiral cut rod are unknown, but cyclic fatigue over decades of lumbar flexion, extension, and rotation are likely to result in a high rate of rod fracture or pedicle screw loosening. Although the U.S. Food and Drug Administration is considering several of these devices for investigational exemption, none of these trials have evaluated their use in total disc arthroplasty revision, and none of these trials have been designed to study the ideal posterior dynamic device to be used in this situation.

Disc arthroplasty is a promising technology because it maintains intervertebral spinal motion and reduces the likelihood of adjacent segment degeneration. However, failure in recognizing some degree of preoperative facet degeneration can lead to unsuccessful outcomes. Posterior motion-preserving technologies may be viable for salvage in selected patients because this approach avoids the need to fuse the failed total disc replacement segment.
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