International Journal of Spine Surgery, Vol. 20, No. 4, 2026, pp. 628–629
https://doi.org/10.14444/8896
© International Society for the Advancement of Spine Surgery

Reclaiming Anatomic Intuition: Integrating Freehand Precision in the Era of Navigation

ROMAN RAHMANI, DO[1]; JEREMY SMITH, MD[1,2]; AND JEFFREY DECKEY, MD[1,2]
[1]*Hoag Orthopedics, Irvine, CA, USA;* [2]*Hoag Orthopedic Institute, Irvine, CA, USA*

RR, 0000-0001-8587-8776

Keywords: freehand technique, pedicle, precision

The first time I was handed a pedicle probe without navigation, I remember the silence. No console hum. No fluoroscopic ping. Just the sound of breathing behind the mask and the feel of cortical bone under my fingertips. The room felt larger than the spine beneath the drapes. My attending stood a few feet away, watching but not speaking. The transverse process caught the light—smooth and unassuming yet alive with information. Every contour said something: direction, depth, and risk. I had read the papers, memorized the angles, but in that moment, anatomy was not a diagram anymore—it was a language.

For decades, surgeons learned that language through repetition and mentorship. The lineage runs through Roy-Camille and Magerl, whose early descriptions of pedicle fixation established the tactile foundations of modern spine instrumentation.[1,2] Their measure of skill was not millimeters on an image but whether the mental map matched the patient's anatomy. To them, "freehand" never meant unguided. It meant guided from within, by memory, by the resistance of cancellous bone, by thousands of tactile feedback loops carved into the nervous system.

Today's surgeons train in a different world. Navigation, robotics, and augmented reality have raised the bar for safety and reproducibility.[3,4] That progress deserves full respect. Yet, as with any advancement, something quiet slips away. The cortical feedback that once shaped our internal map of the spine is now filtered through a screen. Eye–hand coupling becomes monitor–instrument coupling. Accuracy improves, but spatial intuition erodes. The ability to see the pedicle without seeing it—to feel its geometry—fades from collective muscle memory.

This is not a call to return to the past. The scalpel does not compete with the robot, and the probe does not challenge the camera. The point is simpler: the highest precision in surgery is still human.

When a freehand surgeon stands over an exposed vertebra, every motion is a calculation. The transverse process is the lighthouse, the superior articular facet the shoreline, and the spinous base the horizon. These landmarks triangulate an internal coordinate system more dynamic than any optical tracker. The tip of the gearshift senses cortical texture; the hand recognizes depth before the depth gauge confirms it. That is not instinct. It is trained proprioception: neural circuitry shaped by repetition, feedback, and deliberate practice.[5]

Teaching this sense is hard. Many attendings try; few succeed. The fellow sees the gesture but not the calibration, hears "aim slightly medial," but does not yet know what that feels like. Some skills live in the gray zone between words and motion. The challenge for surgical education is to make the invisible teachable—to convey 3-dimensional anatomy in an era when less of it is directly touched.

That does not mean abandoning navigation. It means reframing it. The best musicians rehearse with a metronome but perform from rhythm internalized. So it is with freehand technique: navigation should confirm, not command. The fellow must first develop the pedicle sense—the internal clock—before bringing the metronome back to test it.

It is not unlike modern aviation. No pilot flies without instruments, yet the best still feel the aircraft through their hands and the air itself. Technology extends perception; it does not replace it. The goal in surgery is the same—to synchronize the digital with the anatomical until both move as one. Freehand technique is not an act of defiance against modernization. It is mastery expressed through the human sensorium—vision, touch, and proprioception calibrated into harmony with technology.

Reclaiming anatomic intuition starts with deliberate exposure. Cadaver laboratories where navigation

is turned off on purpose. Pedicle-by-pedicle rehearsals led by mentors who can describe the *feel*, not just the image. The goal is to train cortical memory, not dependence. There is a moment, somewhere between hesitation and fluency, when the fellow stops looking at the monitor for reassurance. The trajectory feels right. The walls feel crisp. The entry point aligns. The hand proceeds—not carelessly, but confidently. That moment is not magic. It is an earned perception.

Freehand technique is not old fashioned. It is the highest-resolution analog system in surgery. It cannot be replaced because it was never external. It lives in the surgeon's nervous system, a mix of repetition, trust, and trial that builds mastery. Losing it would not make surgery less traditional. It would make it less human.

Fellowship is where humanity is tested. It is the bridge between eras, the analog past and the digital future. As a trainee, I am grateful to work with both the screen and the skeleton. Each case reminds me that mastery is not choosing one or the other, but synchronizing both. If navigation fails, I should still know where I am. If my hand hesitates, the anatomy should answer. That is surgical sovereignty, knowing how to operate with or without the grid.

Preserving that sovereignty takes mentorship that values process over speed. Attendings have to resist the urge to let efficiency eclipse education. The 10 seconds it takes to let a fellow find the pedicle by feel might save 10 years of lost intuition across generations. In a world obsessed with metrics, some of the most important skills remain immeasurable.

Spine surgery will keep evolving. Smarter robots, real-time overlays, and machine-learning corrections will all come. But one question will always matter: when the screen goes dark, can you still find the pedicle? The surgeon who answers yes does not carry nostalgia. They carry mastery.

REFERENCES

1. Roy-Camille R, Saillant G, Mazel C. Internal fixation of the lumbar spine with pedicle screw plating. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1986;(203):7–17.

2. Magerl FP. Stabilization of the lower thoracic and lumbar spine with external skeletal fixation. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1984;(189):125–141.

3. Lee Y-S, Cho D-C, Kim K-T. Navigation-guided/robot-assisted spinal surgery: a review article. *Neurospine*. 2024;21(1):8–17. doi:10.14245/ns.2347184.592

4. Ghaednia H, Fourman MS, Lans A, et al. Augmented and virtual reality in spine surgery: current applications and future potentials. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2021;50(1):E17.

5. Gonzalvo A, Fitt G, Liew S, et al. The learning curve of pedicle screw placement: how many screws are enough? spine. 1976;34(21):E761–E765. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181b2f928

Funding: The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests: The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

Disclosures: Jeffrey Deckey has received royalty/license payments from Spineart, Nuvasive, and Globus Medical; consulting fees from Spineart, Nuvasive, and XTANT Medical; and support for attending meetings/travel from Innovasis and Spineart. Jeremy Smith has received royalty/license payments from Nuvasive, Globus Medical, and Spineart; consulting fees from Spineart and NuVasive; and payment or honoraria from Spineart, Innovasis, and NuVasive.

Author Contributions: Roman Rahmani: conceptualization, investigation, methodology, supervision, and writing review and editing. Jeremy Smith: investigation, methodology, supervision, and writing review and editing. Jeffrey Deckey: conceptualization, investigation, methodology, validation, supervision, and writing review and editing.

Corresponding Author: Roman Rahmani, Hoag Orthopedic Institute, 16300 Sand Canyon Ave, Ste 511, Irvine, CA 92618, USA; rahmanir2016@gmail.com

Published 20 May 2026
Copyright © 2026 ISASS. The IJSS is an open access journal following the Creative Commons Licensing Agreement CC BY-NC-ND. To learn more or order reprints, visit http:// ijssurgery.com.