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Abstract

Background
Cervical disc arthroplasty has become a technique for the treatment of cervical degenerative disc disease. Clinically, the need to accurately assess the neural elements at the operative and adjacent levels is critical postoperatively. The purpose of this study was to quantitatively and qualitatively measure the amount of MRI artifact produced by various cervical total disc replacements.

Methods
T1 and T2-weighted turbo spin-echo MRI sequences were collected on the cervical spine (C2-T1) of a 68 year-old unembalmed male cadaver. A discectomy was performed at C5-6, followed by successive implantation of six different total disc replacements. The scans were quantitatively evaluated by three of the authors. The volume of artifact was measured using image analysis software. Qualitative analysis of the adjacent and index neural elements was performed.

Results
The artifact in the T2 weighted images was noted to be 58.6±7.3 cm³ for Prestige ST, 14.2±1.3 cm³ for ProDisc-C, 7.5±0.8 cm³ for Discover, 8.0±0.3 cm³ for Prestige LP, 6.6±0.7 cm³ for Bryan, and 7.3±0.6 cm³ for ProDisc-C titanium prototype. Acceptable intraobserver and excellent interobserver correlation was demonstrated using Pearson Correlation and Concordance Correlation Coefficient analysis. The adjacent and implanted level neural elements (spinal cord and neuroforamina) were easily visualized on the T2 weighted images after the implantation of titanium devices (ProDisc-C titanium prototype, Discover, Prestige LP and Bryan). After implantation of a cobalt chrome implant (ProDisc-C), the adjacent level neural elements were easily visualized but the implanted level could not be fully visualized due to distortion of the images. The quality of the distortion was least favorable after the implantation of the stainless steel implant (Prestige ST), where neither the adjacent nor the index level could be fully visualized.

Conclusion
The volume of the artifact seen following cervical total disc arthroplasty is highly dependent upon the material property of the implant. Quantitative analysis described in this study demonstrated sufficiently low intraobserver and interobserver variability to be considered a reliable technique.

Introduction
Cervical disc arthroplasty has the potential to become a widespread technique used for the treatment of cervical degenerative disc disease. One of the drawbacks associated with these implants is difficulty in postoperative Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) evaluation of the cervical spine. Distortion of the magnetic field by metallic implants can cause poor image quality and make it difficult to assess boney and neurologic structures at the implanted and adjacent levels. The need to accurately assess the neural elements at the operative level and adjacent levels is critical postoperatively and can be challenging given the difficulty associated with imaging of metallic implants.¹

There are many different cervical disc replacements,
which vary in both design and construct materials, that are currently being used and investigated. The most commonly used metallic materials are stainless steel, cobalt chrome, and titanium alloys. It has been shown that spinal devices made of titanium can produce higher quality magnetic resonance images than other materials. However considering the variety of cervical disc replacement devices (both geometry and materials), postoperative MRI evaluation of the neural elements can be difficult especially in cases where cobalt chrome or stainless steel implants have been implanted.

There have been attempts to improve the quality of MRI images adjacent to a metallic implant. Many studies have demonstrated ways to minimize the artifact associated with metallic implants. These include using fast spin-echo sequences, adjusting the hardware orientation, reducing the field of view, imaging thinner sections, increasing echo train length, and increasing receiver bandwidth. A more advanced technique, tilted view angle sequences have been shown to reduce image artifact, but can result in blurring and field of view shift. These specialty techniques also may be impractical to implement, particularly on older MR scanners and beyond the knowledge of some MR operators.

Using routine MR sequences, Sekhon et al. qualitatively examined four different cervical disc replacements in twenty different patients. They concluded that visualization of structures surrounding a titanium cervical disc was excellent whereas visualization of structures surrounding a cobalt-chrome device was poor.

The purpose of this study was to quantitatively and qualitatively measure the MRI artifact produced by cervical total disc replacements made from different materials for both T1 and T2-weighted images in a human cadaveric model and to evaluate the interobserver and the intraobserver reliability of the measurement technique.

Material And Methods

Cadaveric Specimen
A 68 year-old unembalmed full-body male cadaver was acquired for use in this study. Prior to starting, the specimen was radiographically screened to confirm that total cervical disc arthroplasty could be performed. There was no evidence of bony abnormalities or severe disc degeneration that would prevent the implantation of a total disc replacement.

MR Scanning of Intact Spine
A baseline MRI of the intact cervical spine (C2-T1) was obtained using a Philips Intera 1.5 Tesla whole body MRI scanner (Philips Medical Systems, Andover, MA) with a synergy spine coil. Turbo spin echo T1 and T2 sequences (Table 1) were obtained in the sagittal and axial planes using a 3mm slice thickness. For T1 and T2 sagittal sequences, TR values of 400ms and 3500ms and TE values of 7.4ms and 120ms were used, respectively. For T1 and T2 axial sequences, TR values of 1089ms and 3500ms and TE values of 7.8ms and 80ms were used, respectively.

Surgical Procedure
Following the scans of the intact spine, an anterior cervical discectomy was performed in a routine fashion at C5-6 through a right-sided Smith-Robinson approach. The entire disc was removed and the posterior longitudinal ligament was released. Six cervical disc replacement devices were implanted in the following sequence; ProDisc-C (Depuy Synthes, Raynham, MA), ProDisc-C Ti (titanium prototype), Prestige LP (Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Memphis, TN), Discover (Depuy Synthes, Raynham, MA), Bryan (Medtronic Sofamor Danek), and Prestige ST (Medtronic Sofamor Danek) (Table 2). MRI scanning of the instrumented cervical spine was repeated after implantation of each of these devices, using the same sequences as that described above for the intact spine (Table 1).

Quantitative Analysis
The quantity of the artifact was measured using im-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. MRI imaging sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>T1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sagittal</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Axial</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
age analysis software (eFilm Lite v1.8.3, eFilm Medical, Inc., Toronto, ON). The area of the artifact was measured on each axial slice by three of the authors on two different occasions separated by more than three months. In short, each axial slice from an implanted scan was compared side-by-side to a similar slice from the baseline scan (with no artifact), and an elliptical region of interest (ROI) was drawn around the observed artifact with major and minor diameters of the ellipse bounding the outskirts of the artifact (Figure 1). An elliptical ROI was used because a distinct boundary of the artifact was difficult to determine and the elliptical ROI represents a conservative measurement of the artifact. The area of artifact using this ROI was calculated. The volume of the artifact was then calculated by multiplying the artifact area from each slice by the thickness of each slice (3mm) and summed over the number of slices involved.

Qualitative Analysis

The quality of the artifact produced following implantation of these devices was examined. In each case, the implanted and the adjacent levels were assessed using the classification described in Table 3. Each level was assessed in both the sagittal and the axial plane. The level was categorized as Visualized if the canal and the bilateral neural foramina were not affected by the artifact. The levels where the neural elements could not be visualized in either the sagittal or the axial planes were categorized as Fully Distorted. And the levels where the neural elements were partially visualized were categorized as level Partially Distorted as demonstrated in Figure 2.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SAS software (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, ver 9.1.3). The total volume of artifact was determined for each implant and for each of the three observers on the initial measurements for the T1 and T2 images. A 1-way ANOVA was performed using the implant type as the main effect for both T1 and T2. Post hoc

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implant Materials</th>
<th>Size Used (mm) (deep x width x height)</th>
<th>Size Range (mm)</th>
<th>Weight Range (grams)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prodisc-C</td>
<td>12 x 15 x 5</td>
<td>12 – 18 D, 15 – 19 W, 5 – 7 H</td>
<td>4.43 – 9.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prodisc-C Ti (prototype)</td>
<td>12 x 15 x 5</td>
<td>12 – 18 D, 15 – 19 W, 5 – 7 H</td>
<td>2.73 – 5.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prestige LP</td>
<td>12 x 17.8 x 6</td>
<td>12 – 18 D, 17.8 W, 6 – 8 H</td>
<td>3.65 – 7.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discover</td>
<td>15.2 x 18.7 x 8</td>
<td>13.7 – 16.7 D, 14.2 – 18.7 W, 5 – 9 H</td>
<td>2.14 – 5.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryan</td>
<td>14 (diameter) x 6</td>
<td>14 – 18 D, 17.8 W, 6 – 7 H</td>
<td>10.41 – 14.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prestige ST</td>
<td>14 x 17.8 x 7</td>
<td>12 – 18 D, 17.8 W, 6 – 7 H</td>
<td>10.41 – 14.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D: depth; W: width; H: height.

Table 2. List of cervical implants imaged as well as the size and weight ranges.

Table 3. Qualitative scoring method used for classifying image artifact.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Visualized</th>
<th>Partially Distorted</th>
<th>Fully Distorted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>No distinguishable artifact in either sagittal or axial images of the spinal canal or bilateral neural foramina.</td>
<td>Visualized</td>
<td>Partially Distorted</td>
<td>Fully Distorted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Distortion of either neural foramina or the spinal canal that allows partial visualization of the neural structures.</td>
<td>Partially Distorted</td>
<td>Fully Distorted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Inability to visualize the neural structures in bilateral neural foramina and the canal.</td>
<td>Fully Distorted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. The area of the artifact was measured on each axial image using eFilm Lite software as demonstrated.
analysis was performed with a Student’s t-test to look at differences in artifact volume between implants with a level of significance set at \( \alpha = 0.05 \). Intraobserver Variability was measured using the Pearson Product Moment Correlation Coefficient. Interobserver Variability was measured using Concorance Correlation Coefficient which was computed separately for each time point and for T1 and T2 weighted images.

Results

Quantitative Analysis

The shape and location of the artifact was highly dependent on the type of the implanted device. Figure 3 graphically illustrates the area of the artifact measured by the observers on each T1 axial image slice following implantation of the six devices. Each axial scanning sequence produced 29 image slices. Of these, up to 24 had measurable artifact present, with slice 1 being the most caudal and slice 24 being most cranial. The artifact was apparent in 23/24 of T1 and 24/24 of T2 images following the implantation of Prestige ST (Figure 3). Fewer images were affected by artifact following implantation of other devices, as artifact was observed in just 33-42% and 25-38% of the images for T1 and T2-weighted images, respectively.

Figure 4 demonstrates the volume of the artifact measured following implantation of each device. The volume of the artifact in the T1/T2 weighted images was 70.3±16.0 / 58.6±7.3 cm\(^3\) for Prestige ST, 15.3±1.5 / 14.2±1.3 cm\(^3\) for ProDisc-C, 9.4±0.4 / 7.5±0.8 cm\(^3\) for Discover, 7.7±1.4 / 8.0±0.3 cm\(^3\) for Prestige LP, 6.8±1.0 / 6.6±0.7 cm\(^3\) for Bryan, and 7.4±1.0 / 7.3±0.6 cm\(^3\) for ProDisc-C Ti implant. The average volume of the artifact was larger on T1 images when compared to T2 images after implantation of the Prestige ST implant, ProDisc-C implant, and the Discover implant. Smaller differences were seen between the sequences with the Bryan, Prestige LP and ProDisc-C Ti implants.

The volume of the artifact measured on T1 and T2 sequences was significantly larger (p<0.001) after implantation of a stainless implant (Prestige ST) compared to other devices. For the T2 sequence, the volume of measured artifact following the implantation of the cobalt-chrome ProDisc-C device was significantly greater (p<0.03) than the comparable titanium ProDisc-C prototype, as well as the other tita-
Nium devices. There were no other significant differences in volume of artifact between devices for both T1 and T2 sequences.

**Intraobserver Analysis**
The Pearson Product Moment Correlation Coefficient was estimated for both the T1 and T2 measurements for each observer. The measurements were repeated excluding the Prestige ST data. Overall measurements were also analyzed for each sequence with and without the Prestige ST data. In each case, the Correlation Coefficient was estimated between 0.97 to 1.0 representing almost perfect intraobserver reliability (Table 4).

**Interobserver Analysis**
The Concordance Correlation Coefficient was estimated separately for T1 and T2 sequences, first and repeat measurement, and with or without Prestige ST. The correlation coefficient in each case was measured between 0.86 and 0.99 (Table 5).

**Qualitative Analysis**
In general, the quality of the artifact observed on T2 weighted images was subjectively better than that seen on the T1 weighted images. With the T2 weighted images, there appeared to be less artifact, which in turn made it easier to visualize the neural structures. Minimal artifact (Figure 5) was noted following implantation of the titanium implants (Titanium ProDisc C prototype, Discover, Bryan, and Prestige LP). In each case, the neural foramina and the canal was fully visualized at the index level and the adjacent levels on both T1 and T2 images. With the cobalt-chrome ProDisc-C device, the superior and inferior adjacent levels were fully visualized on both T1 and T2 sequences. The index level was however partially distorted and could not be visualized (Table 6). Following implantation of the Prestige ST device, significant distortion of the implanted and the adjacent levels were noted. In this case, the neural foramina and the canal could not be visualized (Figure 6).

**Discussion**
The goal of cervical total disc replacement is to improve patient outcome by the preservation of normal spinal motion and biomechanics with an associated reduction of adjacent segment degeneration.
Numerous biomechanical studies have demonstrated that cervical disc replacements preserve motion at the index and adjacent levels after implantation of cervical TDR. Of even more relevance, several clinical studies have also demonstrated these same trends.

While anterior cervical discectomy and fusion (ACDF) is still the most popular treatment for degenerative disc disease, cervical disc replacements have become an effective option. These devices may potentially decrease the rate of adjacent segment disease requiring revision surgery, which occurs in 2.9% of ACDF patients per year. Despite the potential benefit of the TDR, adjacent segment disease will continue to occur. Furthermore, in a small subgroup of the patients, issues may arise from the index level requiring radiographic evaluation.

MRI evaluation of a symptomatic patient is essential following TDR. Although post-myelogram CT scan can be used to visualize the neural canal, it results in a high radiation dose and may increase the rate of cancer especially in a young patient. Metallic implants also result in x-ray scatter and can prevent the visualization of the canal. This is especially problematic given the close proximity of the metallic TDR to the neural foramina. The material properties of the implant will also affect the scatter.

The quality of the MRI artifact and the ability to visualize the anatomic structures following TDR has previously been studied by Sekhon et. al. The author used a qualitative, subjective technique (Jarvik scale from 1 to 4) that resulted in moderate correlation between the observers (reliability ranging from 0.48 to 0.74; mean interobserver ICC was 0.57). In this study, we have clearly demonstrated a reliable and reproducible method for measuring the MRI artifact produced following implantation of artificial disc replacement.

This study was designed to quantify the amount of artifact following TDR and to evaluate the intraobserver and interobserver reliability of the measurements. Six different TDR designs were utilized and the amount of artifact was measured following implantation of each of the devices. All of the devices used were on the smaller side compared to the sizes available and fairly similar with respect to footprint with the Discover implant having the largest footprint. Larger sizes could have more artifact, but the artifact was more dependent upon the materials (and therefore weight, Table 2). The amount of artifact was highest following implantation of the Prestige ST implant, which consists of stainless steel metal-on-metal bearing surface. Similar amount of artifact was measured following implantation of the four titanium devices (ProDisc-C Ti, Prestige LP, Discover, and Bryan) despite the difference in the geometry of each device.

MRI can continue to be used as the preferred technique when evaluating the cervical spine. Given this result, MRI can be used following artificial disc replacement except when a Prestige ST device has been implanted. In case of the Prestige ST, neither the adjacent nor the index levels were visualized on MRI. The visualization of the adjacent level and the index level can easily be performed following implantation of titanium devices. In case of the ProDisc-C Cobalt-Chrome implant, the adjacent segments are easily visualized where as the index level was obscured by the metal artifact.
Conclusion

The artifact seen on the MRI scan following implantation of a total disc device was highly dependent on the material properties of the device. Qualitatively, the neural elements at both the implanted and the adjacent levels were easily visualized following implantation of a titanium device as compared to both cobalt chrome and stainless steel implant. Quantitatively, all the titanium implants resulted in similar artifact volumes. Stainless steel device produced the largest amount of artifact. Quantitative measurement of artifact volume is a reproducible and a valid technique.
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