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ABSTRACT

Background: Endoscopic spine surgery (ESS) has a reduced rate of incidental durotomy (ID) compared with open spine surgery. However, there are unique challenges regarding the management of ID in ESS due to the single, deep, narrow working corridor and aqueous environment. Here, we present a collagen matrix inlay graft technique for the management of ID encountered during ESS.

Methods: Three patients were identified via medical record review of full ESS where an intraoperative ID was encountered. These were all addressed endoscopically. All surgeries were performed by a single surgeon in the years 2019 to 2023. Patient, operative, and postoperative details, including patient-reported outcomes, were recorded. Briefly, the collagen matrix inlay graft technique included introducing a segment of collagen matrix into the surgical field and manipulating the collagen matrix so that it passed through the durotomy and resided within the dura, plugging the hole.

Results: Three IDs were identified out of a total of 295 eligible cases (1.02%). The IDs measured 2 to 2.5 mm in length. For these 3 patients, the duration of hospital stay ranged from 172 to 1,068 minutes. No patients exhibited signs or symptoms of cerebrospinal fluid leak at any postoperative timepoint. At the 6-week postoperative visit, all patients had achieved the minimum clinically important difference in Oswestry Disability Index, and all patients with available visual analog scale scores for leg and low back pain had achieved the cutoff for the minimum clinically important difference.

Conclusions: We presented 3 cases of ID during uniportal full ESS who were repaired using a collagen matrix inlay graft technique. Prolonged bed rest was avoided, and all patients achieved excellent clinical outcomes without further complication. This technique may also be appropriate for other minimally invasive spine surgery techniques.

Clinical Relevance: ID is a common and undesirable complication of degenerative lumbar spine surgery. Endoscopic ID repair techniques provide an option to avoid conversion to open or tubular surgery for the management of ID.

Level of Evidence: 4.
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INTRODUCTION

Incidental durotomy (ID) is a common and undesirable complication of degenerative lumbar spine surgery, with rates of up to 17% reported in open surgery.1-5 Certain factors increase the risk of ID during lumbar spine surgery, including revision surgery, smoking, diabetes, age, obesity, and surgical invasiveness.3-8 Some patients who sustain an ID during surgery recover without issue. However, others require additional procedures due to persistent cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leak and resulting spinal headaches, pseudomeningocele, meningitis, infection, and/or symptomatic nerve root entrapment.9-13 ID also results in increased costs for patients.14 Evidence regarding the clinical impact of ID on patient outcomes varies, with some authors reporting good outcomes2,4,13,15,16 and others reporting worse outcomes17,18 in patients with durotomies.

Over the past 2 decades, there has been a significant increase in the utilization of minimally invasive spine surgery (MISS).19 MISS refers to surgical techniques designed to reduce pain, blood loss, and damage to adjacent structures when compared with traditional open techniques.20 More recently, full-endoscopic spine surgery (ESS), a type of MISS, has become more popular.21 Uniportal ESS utilizes a single, subcentimeter working cannula with advanced visualization technology and continuous irrigation. While full ESS has numerous advantages over other techniques, including a reduced rate of ID, unique challenges regarding the repair and management of ID exist due to the single, deep, narrow working corridor and aqueous environment.22,23
A recent international survey of spine surgeons found that 12% of respondents did not have a plan to manage IDs encountered during endoscopic surgery for spinal decompression. Furthermore, the risk for ID during ESS is higher in surgeons with fewer than 5 years of experience. Increasing knowledge about dural repair techniques is critical to help ensure that endoscopic spine surgeons are fully prepared for this potential complication.

The option to convert to open or tubular techniques for the management of ID during full ESS exists, but most surgeons hope to avoid this due to the associated morbidity and increased time. Some authors have described good results with nonpenetrating titanium clips for the repair of ID during ESS. However, this technique is unique to biportal full ESS as it requires an additional working portal. Management strategies for ID during uniportal full ESS have been described but are limited. Here, we present our technique for the management of ID during uniportal full ESS.

**METHODS**

Medical records were reviewed for full ESSs where an intraoperative dural tear was encountered. These tears were all addressed endoscopically. Combined cases involving nonendoscopic techniques were excluded. All surgeries were performed at a single institution by 1 surgeon in between November 2019 and March 2023 using the Joimax (Irvine, California, USA) iLESSYS Pro or TESSYS endoscopic systems. The study was determined to be exempt from Institutional Review Board oversight (HCA Institutional Review Board #2022–949).

Data were gathered on preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative variables. Data included patient factors, diagnoses, surgical approaches, operative time, length of hospital stay (LOS), and postoperative symptoms. The size and location of the dural tear as well as repair technique were recorded.

To evaluate patient-reported outcomes, scores were compiled for the preoperative and 6-week postoperative Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) and visual analog scale (VAS) for low back and leg pain. One patient was missing information on preoperative VAS scores. Scores were assessed for whether or not they met the minimum clinically important difference (MCID). The definition of MCID varies based on the method of calculation. In this study, the MCID for leg pain was defined as an improvement of at least 1.6 points; the MCID for low back pain was defined as an improvement of at least 1.2 points; and the MCID for ODI was defined as an improvement of at least 12.8 points. Descriptive statistical analyses were conducted in R. Analyses were run in R version 4.1.1. The main R packages used in this project included the following: dplyr, epiR, ggplot2, tidyverse, and vtable.

**Technique**

Regardless of when the ID was identified during the procedure, the decompression was completed and the initial goals of surgery were achieved before addressing the tear. No modifications were necessary to the irrigation fluid settings, although reducing the pressure might be beneficial if the surgeon suspects a substantial influx of irrigation fluid or debris through the durotomy.

IDs (Figure 1) were then managed in the following fashion: A segment of collagen matrix (Duragen, Integra, Plainsboro, New Jersey, USA) was cut to a size slightly larger than the dimensions of the durotomy. It was then introduced into the surgical field using an endoscopic micropituitary rongeur (Figure 2). A variety
of instruments, including an endoscopic ball tip probe (Figure 3) and nerve hook (Figure 4), were utilized to manipulate the collagen matrix so that it passed through the durotomy and resided within the dura, plugging the hole (Figure 5). Deployable and spring-action curved instruments (eg, ball tip probes and micropituitaries) were especially helpful in collagen matrix graft delivery during transforaminal (TF) cases given the more constrained nature of this approach. Once the collagen matrix graft was inserted, the CSF pressure pushed it back against the intact dura, preventing the herniation of rootlets. The endoscopic fluid pressure was shut off, and an intraoperative Valsalva was performed to confirm that the inlay graft was well fixed. A small amount of dural sealant (polyethylene glycol [PEG] hydrogel, DuraSeal, Integra, Plainsboro, New Jersey, USA) was applied at the surgeon’s discretion, but caution was exercised as these products tend to expand with time. Given the lack of dead space created during an endoscopic approach, such expansion may produce iatrogenic neurologic compression in the enclosed bounds of the endoscopic surgical field. Closure was then performed in standard fashion and sealant (Dermabond, Ethicon Inc., Raritan, New Jersey, USA) was applied to the skin.

**RESULTS**

**Patient and Surgical Descriptions**

A total of 295 eligible cases were identified. There were 3 instances of intraoperative ID (1.02%). The 3 patients’ ages ranged from 43 to 73 years (mean 55.0 ± 15.9). One patient was a woman and the other 2 patients were men. Patients’ body mass index ranged from 24.1 to 28.1 (mean 26.3 ± 2.0). None of the patients were diabetic, were taking anticoagulants, or smoked. All 3 patients were diagnosed with symptomatic lumbar disc herniations, causing radiculopathy refractory to conservative care. One patient additionally had underlying facet and ligamentum hypertrophy contributing to her stenosis—she underwent an interlaminar (IL) hemilaminotomy and discectomy, while the other patients underwent TF discectomies. All procedures were performed with the patients in the prone position under general endotracheal anesthesia. None of the patients had a history of prior surgery at the index level. Table 1 provides additional information about patient and surgical variables.

**Intraoperative**

The operating time ranged from 58 to 123 minutes (mean 89.3 ± 32.6). The dural tears encountered during surgery ranged in size and location: 2 tears measured at 2 mm and 1 tear measured at 2.5 mm. The durotomies incurred during the TF cases involved the...
traversing nerve roots, while the tear sustained during the IL approach involved the thecal sac. All durotomies were linear, and there was no extravasation of nerve roots in any of the cases. The dural tears were repaired endoscopically using a collagen matrix inlay graft as described above. For the largest tear, a PEG hydrogel sealant was also applied.

Postoperative

The LOSs ranged from 172 to 1068 minutes. Surgery in 1 patient concluded in the late evening so the patient was observed overnight as a precaution rather than discharged home the same day—this resulted in a substantially longer LOS in this patient. That patient was kept on bed rest with head of bed flat overnight, then gradually sat up the next morning and discharged home. The other patients were kept flat for 2 hours and subsequently discharged home. No other changes were made to the postoperative protocols. No patients exhibited signs or symptoms of CSF leak at any postoperative timepoint.

All patients achieved the cutoff for MCID in ODI scores at the 6-week follow-up after surgery (Table 2).

**Table 1.** Patient, operative, and immediate postoperative details for the 3 patients included in the case series.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age, y</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>ASA</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Durotomy Location</th>
<th>Durotomy Size, mm</th>
<th>Repair Agent</th>
<th>Operative Time, min</th>
<th>Length of Hospital Stay, min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>L2-L3</td>
<td>Large central HNP causing canal and right greater than left lateral recess stenosis with right L3 radiculopathy</td>
<td>Right transforaminal discectomy</td>
<td>Ventral nerve root sleeve of the traversing right L3 nerve root</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Collagen matrix</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>L3-L4</td>
<td>Left-sided foraminal/far lateral HNP causing left L3 radiculopathy</td>
<td>Left transforaminal discectomy</td>
<td>Ventrolateral aspect of the traversing left L4 root</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Collagen matrix</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>L4-L5</td>
<td>Facet and ligamentum flavum hypertrophy with superimposed left paracentral HNP causing lateral recess stenosis and left L5 radiculopathy</td>
<td>Left interlaminar hemilaminotomy and discectomy</td>
<td>Dorsolateral aspect of the thecal sac</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Collagen matrix and polyethylene glycol hydrogel</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>1068</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists; BMI, body mass index; F, female; HNP, herniated nucleus pulposus; M, male.

**Figure 6** displays the pre- and postoperative ODI scores. Two of the 3 patients provided preoperative VAS pain scores for the leg and low back. Each of these patients achieved the cutoff for MCID in VAS scores at the 6-week follow-up visit after surgery. The third patient only provided postoperative VAS scores at the 6-week follow-up, and these scores indicated that the patient was not experiencing any pain (all scores of 0). **Figure 7** displays the pre- and postoperative VAS scores.

**DISCUSSION**

Our management technique for ID during single-portal full ESS and subsequent clinical outcomes is presented. In this series, a collagen matrix inlay graft successfully treated all IDs without sequelae, and all patients achieved excellent clinical outcomes. One patient was kept flat overnight due to the case finishing...
in the evening, but the other 2 ambulated 2 hours after surgery and were discharged home shortly thereafter. This technique takes advantage of the limited dead space created during ESS and utilizes the CSF pressure to seal the closure. Adjuvant PEG hydrogel sealant was used in 1 case and necessitates discontinuation of the irrigation to avoid washout. Great care should be taken to limit operative time after ID during ESS as the dural tear may allow the influx of irrigation fluid and a subsequent increase in intracranial pressure.26

Techniques for the management of ID during single-portal full ESS are limited. In 2018, Shin et al described Youn’s technique for direct suture repair of ID during ESS. To date, this is the only described technique for direct suture repair of ID using uniportal endoscopy. Their technique utilizes a double-arm 6-0 prolene suture to create a water-tight repair. According to the authors, the double-arm needle facilitates first passage and reduces the risk of nerve entrapment. The needles are then brought out of the working cannula and knotted outside of the endoscope. An endoscopic curette is used as a knot pusher to pass and tighten the knot. In this study, they did not present clinical outcomes for their technique. While inlay and onlay graft techniques are suitable for smaller ID during ESS, Youn’s technique may represent a challenging but effective technique to avoid conversion to open surgery for larger ID during uniportal full ESS.

Kim et al presented a retrospective evaluation of 330 patients who underwent endoscopic lumbar stenosis decompression via an IL approach. Their incidence of ID was 8.2% (n = 27). They also presented a classification system to describe endoscopic dural tears and help guide management. They recommend open repair in cases with large complex tears, failure of endoscopic repair, or when nerve roots remain incarcerated despite endoscopic manipulation. In appropriate cases (n = 26), they performed a patch blocking repair. In their technique, a collagen patch was inserted into the dural defect to prevent entry of foreign substances into the thecal sac and herniation of nerve roots. They followed this with the application of a fibrin patch (Tachosil, Nycomed, Linz, Austria) and placed an additional collagen patch onto the fibrin layer to prevent dislodgment by the irrigation. At a mean follow-up of 10.4 months, they reported significant improvement in ODI and VAS scores in patients treated with the patch blocking repair technique.

A recent retrospective multi-institutional study of 553 patients treated by members of the Endoscopic Spine Study Group reported a 0.54% (n = 3) durotomy rate.39 Procedures included discectomy (68%), foramino
(28.2%), unilateral laminotomy for bilateral decompression (9.9%), and lateral recess decompression (5.2%). Two of the IDs occurred during discectomies. One was converted to open for decompression purposes and not for management of the dural tear. One was treated conservatively. The other dural defect occurred during an endoscopic laminectomy. Arachnoid was found to be intact, and it was repaired in layers using only collagen matrix followed by fibrin sealant (TISSEEL, Baxter, Deerfield, Illinois, USA). This patient was kept flat for 6 hours and discharged the morning after surgery without complication.

Telfeian et al reported a similarly low rate of ID (0.4%) in a series of 907 TF endoscopic surgeries performed by 2 experienced surgeons. Two of the IDs occurred on the traversing nerve root sleeve during revision discectomies. In 1 case, they applied a Durepair Regeneration Matrix (Medtronic, Dublin, Ireland) patch to the tear with an endoscopic grasper. No sealant or patch was utilized in the other case. The other 2 IDs occurred in revision decompression cases at the site of previous fusion. One case was treated with routine closure and 24 hours of bed rest and the other with a Duragen patch. There were no adverse outcomes. The authors concluded that ID during TF ESS is a rare complication more likely to happen during revision surgeries. While it may be hard to conceive for open surgery, routine closure and bed rest can be effective for the treatment of ID in ESS due to the lack of dead space created. Other authors have reported good outcomes (no return to operating room) in cases of ID treated with routine closure and 72 hours of bed rest.40

Similar challenges for direct dural repair exist in minimally invasive tubular surgery due to the narrow and deep working corridor.41–44 Like full ESS, minimally invasive tubular techniques utilize small incisions and include limited lack of dead space, especially when compared with open techniques. As a result, the dural inlay technique is a reasonable option for IDs in select nonendoscopic cases. Our dural inlay technique may be preferred in minimally invasive tubular cases with small tears in difficult to repair locations. However, additional studies are needed to evaluate this technique in non-ESSs.

Our study has a number of limitations. This is a retrospective study without a control group. Furthermore, the included cases were performed by a single surgeon. Nevertheless, this report addresses a lack of available literature on dural repair in uniportal full ESS.

CONCLUSION

In recent years, uniportal full ESS has emerged as an ultraminimally invasive approach for lumbar decompression. While it has numerous advantages over open and other minimally invasive techniques, repair of ID remains a challenge due to the narrow and deep surgical corridor, aqueous environment, single portal, and dearth of dedicated repair instruments and materials. We presented 3 cases of ID during uniportal full ESS that were repaired using a collagen matrix inlay technique. Prolonged bed rest was avoided, and all patients achieved excellent clinical outcomes without further complication. This technique may also be appropriate for other MISS techniques. Future randomized studies are needed to assess and compare dural repair techniques for uniportal full ESS.
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